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Victories and challenges in the year that was

My 2019 wrap-up

Well, it turns out that roaring sound I had in my ears was not the ocean, but rather the end of my presidency rushing towards me at what seems like the speed of light!

A point that seemed a long way off when I wrote my first column for 2019 is suddenly here. To say it has been an interesting year would be an understatement of significant proportions. We have had a barrister suddenly here. I am very happy to note that I have welcomed many solicitors and former solicitors to those ranks this year, and that the Attorney General has listened to my call for more solicitor appointments. I can assure her and any who follow in her footsteps that my advocacy on that issue will not cease on the end of my official duties.

I am especially proud of some of the advocacy efforts I have had the privilege to lead this year. Standing up for our strong, independent and talented judiciary has been its own reward, and I am confident we have managed to blunt the shrill attacks of some times callow and ill-informed media. We have also stood tall on the independent judicial commission, which would reassure the community (and disarm the shock journos). We have also had the courage to speak out on the rare occasions that something is amiss on the Bench, especially the vexed issue of judicial bullying. I am proud we did not waver in the face of that challenge and stood by our members even on such a difficult and delicate issue.

We have been relentless in our call for a properly funded judiciary, including all levels of courts and tribunals, especially QCAT, which performs minor miracles on a daily basis despite a shoestring budget. We cannot continue to rely on that, and I hope the new Council rides the momentum of 2019 to get the government to resource our justice system fully.

One of the things I really must do is thank the wonderful and supportive staff of the Society. Everything I have managed to achieve in this year has been to no small part to their skill, hard work and support. The submissions to which I have spoken in parliamentary inquiries are succinct, fact-filled and persuasive, and my briefs always comprehensive and timely. When QLS holds events, either at Law Society House or in the far-flung reaches of our state, they go off without a hitch; all I have to do is turn up and speak!

One of the things of which I am most proud when I look back across 2019 is that Queensland Law Society has been the voice of reason on all those, and many other, vital issues. Not only that, it has been a voice that was heard. I am pleased to be able to note that the Society has become once again the go-to for media pundits when seeking a view on the legal issues of the day.

I have noted in the past that it is the public of legal practitioners who have the greatest say on the big issues of justice and the rule of law, and we have excelled in that space this year. I have spent a lot of time on radio, TV and in other media being interviewed on those important issues, and the profile of our Society – and the weight our voice carries in these debates – has never been higher.

This year has also seen the successful launch of our Aspire lecture series, a project near and dear to my heart. It is wonderful to see our members embrace this series, and their willingness to think outside the box on leadership and look to take opportunities to broaden their skillset in many different ways. Our Solicitor Advocates Course has come fully into its own in 2019, with the basic course again being offered, but also a family law specific course, and two higher level courses for those who want to double down on that advocacy skillset. With solicitors now doing the bulk of our state’s courtwork, these skills certainly increase the employability of our members. Given the popularity of the course, which sells out fairly quickly, I am confident we are on the right track here.

The Ethics and Practice Centre – now known as Solicitor Support – remains the jewel in the QLS crown, and I am massively appreciative of the support and services they have delivered. I am proud I have been able to help deliver a long held dream of Director Stafford Shepherd in making the centre an ILP, which has greatly increased the services the centre can supply.

As I say, all these things occur because QLS staff get behind them, drive them and go the extra mile, or indeed the extra five miles if that is what it takes. QLS is a not-for-profit, and we do not have heaps of money and resources to throw at our projects, but they do get done. They get done because the staff here do more than what is in their position description and go well beyond their pay grades to deliver for members. Indeed it is the case that for all the services and products the Society makes available for members, the biggest benefit to membership is that it puts this mob in your court.

The people you are, the work you do, and the efforts you make on behalf of clients, colleagues and community has always inspired and fortified me. I have never doubted that I was involved in a worthy cause, because of the great worth of our membership.

Despite our (occasionally very public) detractors, we remain a noble profession working for the greater good of the community, and regularly making a positive difference – and that is down to the quality of our individual members. I can deliver resources like the practice support consultancy, lecture series and other products, but at the end of the day the success of our legal system rests in the hands of our members and how they conduct themselves. Time and again, those hands have proven to be strong and safe, and good ones to leave the system in. I confidently do so.

It has been a pleasure serving you all, take care of yourselves and each other.

Bill Potts
Queensland Law Society President
president@qls.com.au
Twitter: @QLSpresident
LinkedIn: linkedin.com/in/bill-potts-qlspresident
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The people you are, the work you do, and the efforts you make on behalf of clients, colleagues and community has always inspired and fortified me.

To the incoming Council I wish the best of luck, and warn them to be ready for hard work. The position you have is a privileged one, and you represent a noble and worthy membership; but never forget that to represent them is to serve them. Whatever you do, whatever projects you undertake, must be done for the benefit of our members and thereby our justice system and indeed the Queensland public.

I am very comforted that the incoming President, Luke Murphy, has given me his word that his presidency will continue to support those important projects – such as MALIS, Aspire, Solicitor Advocates Course, practice support consultancy – that have proved so popular with our members.

Speaking of the President, I also say to the new Council: get behind him. The presidency is a powerful and influential position, and carries much prestige, but it is still only one person. I know from past experience that a president can achieve great things with a supportive council. Absent that support, however, a presidency can slip into figurehead status very quickly.
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I am very comforted that the incoming President, Luke Murphy, has given me his word that his presidency will continue to support those important projects – such as MALIS, Aspire, Solicitor Advocates Course, practice support consultancy – that have proved so popular with our members.
PREPARED FOR A DIGITAL FUTURE

“Whenever possible McCullough Robertson uses PEXA Projects to settle high volume development lot sales online. There have been undeniable benefits for both the firm and our developer clients. These include the instantaneous transfer of proceeds of sale and title, along with the direct payment of GST Withholding and other outgoings.”

— Kristan Conlon, Partner, McCullough Robertson Lawyers

“I have every confidence in the security of the PEXA platform. A common myth I hear is that it’s only PEXA that needs to be secure. We all, law firms and banks, need to be vigilant when it comes to cyber-security to protect the interests of our clients and our businesses.”

— Keelee Fogg, Principal, Whitsunday Law

If you’d like to speak with PEXA regarding transacting online, please contact Rukshana.Sashikan@pexa.com.au.

CONTRIBUTION > RECOGNITION

Celebrating our own

Perhaps in a perfect world, all of the contributions that Queensland lawyers make would be publicly acknowledged.

The truth is, however, that much of the pro bono work and the other contributions that members of the profession make remains unheralded, and in fact some of our unsung heroes are quite content for that to continue.

At Queensland Law Society we are keen to see the work of our members acknowledged, and we support other organisations which recognise positive contributions within and beyond the profession.

For example, we were the gold sponsor for the Women Lawyers Association of Queensland 41st annual awards dinner, held on 25 October at Brisbane’s Hilton Hotel.

This ceremony recognised the achievements and contributions of the Honourable Margaret Wilson QC (Woman in Excellence Award), Bridget Cullen (Leneen Forde AC Woman Lawyer of the Year), Zinta Harris (Trailblazer Award) and Jeffrey Cuddihy & Joyce Solicitors (Legal Firm of the Year).

On behalf of the Society, I congratulate the outstanding nominees we received.

This is a continuing task of the utmost importance and I celebrate the many outstanding nominees we received.

In the end there were eight finalists. The winner of the inaugural award was Sharell O’Brien, the coordinator of the Mackay Domestic and Family Violence High Risk Team. She has dedicated the last six years to providing duty lawyer services, legal advice, casework representation, and early intervention and prevention strategies such as community development, community legal education, community awareness projects and advocacy for law reform for ending domestic and family violence.

In 2017, Sharell developed the Rural Regional and Remote Legal Assistance Project. The RRRL Legal Assistance Project gave women the ability to obtain legal advice via Skype at several community support organisations across North, Far North and Western Queensland. In 2014, she developed the ‘Ask Fido’ website which provides important free legal education to community support workers in rural and remote locations who assist women experiencing domestic and family violence.

The membership base of the website grew to over 200 members. QLS is proud that we can provide free logistical and event support for this event, which sees all proceeds going to Women’s Legal Service Queensland. In 2014, she developed the ‘Ask Fido’ website which provides important free legal education to community support workers in rural and remote locations who assist women experiencing domestic and family violence. The membership base of the website grew to over 200 members. QLS is proud that we can provide free logistical and event support for this event, which sees all proceeds going to Women’s Legal Service Queensland.

In 2017, Sharell developed the Rural Regional and Remote Legal Assistance Project. The RRRL Legal Assistance Project gave women the ability to obtain legal advice via Skype at several community support organisations across North, Far North and Western Queensland. In 2014, she developed the ‘Ask Fido’ website which provides important free legal education to community support workers in rural and remote locations who assist women experiencing domestic and family violence.

The membership base of the website grew to over 200 members. QLS is proud that we can provide free logistical and event support for this event, which sees all proceeds going to Women’s Legal Service Queensland.

Specialist accreditation

An event this month recognises the dedication and professionalism of QLS members – the Brisbane Specialist Accreditation Christmas Breakfast, which was preceded by corresponding events in Cairns and Townsville late last month.

This breakfast event features the popular annual address by Chief Justice Catherine Holmes and recognises the achievements of this year’s specialist accreditation graduates in the presence of family, colleagues, representatives of the judiciary and members of the specialist accreditation community.

And I am pleased to advise that this year’s event will see the presentation of our inaugural Outstanding Accredited Specialist Award, which will recognise the exceptional contribution to the profession by a senior accredited specialist.

This year the specialist accreditation programs covered family law, property law and succession law. In 2020, the programs will cover business law, commercial litigation, criminal law, immigration law, personal injuries and workplace relations. The workplace relations accreditation program will be run collaboratively with other law societies.

Festive wishes

Finally, I would like to offer my best wishes for the festive season to our members, their families and staff. Our profession isn’t easy; we work hard in the service of justice and our community, and sometimes this becomes a stressful, emotional rollercoaster.

Please ensure you make the time to relax, enjoy the company of family and friends, and return next year refreshed and ready for the challenges ahead.

Rolf Moses
Queensland Law Society CEO
Notice of Annual General Meeting of Queensland Law Society Inc.

(Pursuant to Rules 59 and 60 of the Legal Profession (Society) Rules 2007)

Notice is hereby given that the 91st Annual General Meeting (AGM) of members of Queensland Law Society Incorporated will be held in the Auditorium, Level 2, Law Society House, 179 Ann Street, Brisbane at 1pm on Wednesday 11 December 2019.

Business:

- Confirmation of minutes of the AGM
- Such further business as may lawfully be brought before the meeting
- Reception of the annual report and financial statement of the Council for the year ended 30 June 2019
- Consideration of any motion, notice of which has been given in accordance with the requirements of Rule 63(2) of the Legal Profession (Society) Rules 2007
- Such further business as may lawfully be brought before the meeting.

1 November 2019
By Order

Louise Pennisi
Corporate Secretary

QLS election results

The successful candidates in this year’s Queensland Law Society election will take office from 1 January for a two-year tenure.

The final results of the poll, which closed on 24 October, are:
- President: Luke Murphy
- Deputy President: Elizabeth Shearer
- Vice President: Peter Lyons
- Ordinary Members of Council:
  - Michael Brennan
  - Alison Caputo
  - Olga Kopilovic
  - Kirsty Mackie
  - William (Bill) Munro
  - Rebecca Pezzutti
  - Kara Thomson
  - Phil Ware

QLS congratulates the successful candidates in the election.

Seven-year celebration

Damien Greer Lawyers, which focuses solely on family law, recently celebrated the firm’s seventh anniversary at Damien and his wife Kate Greer’s residence in Fairfield, with around 150 friends, colleagues and referrers.

The evening began with words of thanks and wisdom from Principal Damien Greer, and ended with a light roast and toast from the firm’s Special Counsel, Wendy Miller.

The firm’s staff are pictured at the event, from left, Wendy Miller, Simone Langlois, Samantha Lisle, Joseph Taylor, Jodie Mulcahy, Carolyn McKenna, Wendy Wright, Damien Greer, Scott Richardson, Sarah Dibley, Justin Hine, Arlena Casey and Caitlin Wilson.

At Mercedes-Benz, it’s a win-win with outstanding value and extras across an exhilarating line-up. Featuring the GLA 180 with Metallic Paintwork, B 180 with Panoramic Glass Sunroof, C 200 Sedan & Coupe with AMG Line Bodystyling – plus much more. So whichever model you choose, you win. Plus, you could also benefit from the Mercedes-Benz Corporate Programme,[1] including:

- 3 years complimentary scheduled servicing,[2]
- Reduced retailer delivery fee.[3]
- Total of 4 years Mercedes-Benz Road Care nationwide.
- Pick-up and drop-off or access to a loan car when servicing your vehicle.[4]
Hospitality the Mullins way

Mullins hosted its inaugural hospitality industry event on 31 October, showing appreciation to the clients, referrers and friends of the firm who have supported the firm’s hospitality team. The event was in line with the firm’s decision in 2018 to rebrand and focus on nine key industries. Hotels and accommodation, pubs and restaurants, and clubs are three of the firm’s key industries and together represent a large section of the firm’s clients.

Receiver appointment terminated

On 30 October 2019, Queensland Law Society Council delegate Craig William Smiley, General Manager – Regulation, terminated the appointment of Sherry Janette Brown, Michael Craig Drinkall, Glenn Ashley Forster, William Thomas Hourigan and Deborah Yumin Mok, jointly and severally, as receiver of the deeds, documents and choses in action of the estate of the late and deceased solicitors, lawyers, liquidators, members of the public, creditors or contributories of the firm and Mok, together with all their respective estates, and of all other persons claiming under or through said deceased solicitors, lawyers, liquidators, members of the public, creditors or contributories of said estate, or under or through said receivers and under or through the firm.

The termination of appointment of receiver took effect from that date.
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The College of Law is a great sponsor of the Queensland Law Society Legal Profession Breakfast in support of Women's Legal Service Queensland.

This incredible event was attended by 256 legal professionals and raised $118,350 for $50 to help even more women and children live a free from domestic family and sexual abuse.

The audience sat in hushed silence as Alice McKeon, Proctor CEO delivered her powerful, personal story of her exposure to domestic violence as a child... and... 35 years later.

Wondabri Hall
12 November at 9:30

Canberra, ACT. Lomas and Lamar event the day at Flamingo Point Cafe, Restaurant & Function Centre for the annual Lawyers' Conference held by the Queensland Law Society (QLS) Canberra.

It was a great day to help all stay updated with recent changes and developments in the law.

LEAP Legal Software AU
@LEAPLegalSoftwareAU

Are you at the @qldlawsoocity Succession and Elder Law Conference today? Come past the LEAP stand today or tomorrow to say hello to our team.

#auslaw #legaltech #elderlaw

It was great to present today on my favourite topic #innovation and #AccessToJustice to @qldlawsoocity and especially to have a robust discussion about the role of #technology, #regulation and #legaleducation with representatives from the courts, private profession and CLCs.

PROCTOR | December 2019
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This year’s Succession and Elder Law Conference, at the Surfers Paradise Marriott Resort & Spa in early November, was another great success, both professionally and socially. With its dual themes of life and death, the 150 attendees enjoyed sessions spanning all learning levels, from estate litigation basics to complex ethical matters involving purported wills. Dr Helena Popovic’s session on the 12 key factors to boosting your most valuable asset – your brain – was a big hit with delegates, as was the Live and Let Die / James Bond-themed conference dinner.
Aspiring to leadership

New Queensland Legal Services Commissioner and former QLS President Megan Mahon delivered the second address in this year’s Aspire Leadership Lecture Series to an attentive audience at Law Society House on 6 November.

Breakfast for a multitude

One of the largest single events of the QLS year saw some 800 guests sitting down to a scrumptious breakfast and moving addresses at the Legal Profession Breakfast 2019, held in the Main Auditorium at Brisbane City Hall on 14 November.

The morning included a heartfelt keynote address by Arman Abrahimzadeh OAM, a passionate advocate against domestic violence and co-founder of the Zahra Foundation Australia, while another highlight was the presentation of the inaugural Dame Quentin Bryce Domestic Violence Prevention Advocate Award, which recognises the contribution, commitment and professionalism of an individual in the Queensland legal profession who has worked to address domestic violence and advocate for change within workplaces. It was won by Sharell O’Brien of the Domestic Violence Resource Service, Mackay. Proceeds from the Legal Profession Breakfast benefit Women’s Legal Service Queensland.

Toowoomba celebration a family affair

25-year membership pin recipients at last month’s Celebrate, Recognise and Socialise in Toowoomba made it a family affair; bringing guests that included parents, partners and children, two of whom are law students.

Pictured above, from left, are QLS ethics solicitor Shane Budden, pin recipients Amanda Boyce, Dean Spanner and Leesa Beresford, and Downs and South West Queensland District Law Association President Sarah-Jane MacDonald.
Lawyer and comedian Julian Morrow has made a career of public nuisance in various forms.

He co-founded The Chaser satirical media empire and join company Giant Dwarf, as well as making TV shows including The Election Chaser, CNNNN, The Chaser’s War on Everything, The Hamster Wheel and The Checkout. His work has been nominated, unsuccessfully, for many awards, and prosecuted successfully in many courts.

In recent years, he has taken to claiming credit for the work of others as executive producer of Lawrence Leung’s series, Choose Your Own Adventure and Unbelievable. Eliza and Hannah Nelly’s Growing Up Gracefully and Sarah Schelter and Alson Bell’s The Letdown. In 2020, however, Julian’s career will reach a new pinnacle when he presents ‘Ethical Pursuit’ – The legal genius edition, billed as a “professionally-developing world-first CPD gameshow for lawyers”, at QLS Symposium 2020. Proctor asked Julian to explain this revolutionary concept:

What initially led to your interest in finding alternative ways to deliver engaging CPD?

I worked as an employment lawyer for several years before improving the quality of legal profession by leaving it. It’s fair to say that my memory of the CPD sessions I went to as a lawyer gave me an inkling that it might be possible to make CPD more engaging and entertaining without reducing the quality or amount of legal content.

Can you tell us more about your CPDUI events?

I started CPDUI – ‘Continuing Professional Development Under the Influence’ – as an event at Giant Dwarf Theatre, which I run in Redfern (Sydney)…so the true origin is trying to mitigate the losses that go with trying to run a theatre.

But the aim of CPDUI is to create events that have the same quality and quantity of legal content you get in your standard CPD session, but to deliver that in a more entertaining way. I’m bringing the skills I’ve developed over 20 years in television to the continuing education part of my former career as a lawyer. (I’m no longer practising, but was never actually struck off).

Why did you choose to give your CPDUI events an ethics focus?

From talking to lawyers at CPDUI, I get the impression that the compulsory CPD unit in ‘Practical Legal Ethics’ can be the one practitioners find themselves scrambling to fill as the end of the CPD year approaches each March. So as a lapsed lawyer, I felt that my non-professional responsibility to provide an engaging way to get your ethics point.

What do you think it is about the information you present that resonates with, and interests, lawyers?

‘Ethical Pursuit?’ operates as a refresher course on basic precepts of legal ethics and professional responsibility as well as providing a snapshot on recent cases and developments. That may resonate with and interest some, but to be honest the information that seems to resonate and interest lawyers most is the answer to the question, “Can I claim my compulsory CPD point for Practical Legal Ethics if I stay until the end?”

How have the skills and experience you’ve obtained through working in television shaped your ability to deliver education in the legal professional development space?

As well as making ABC consumer affairs show The Checkout, which basically involved turning a 500-page statute into an informative light entertainment TV show, ‘Ethical Pursuit?’ also draws on other educational quiz formats I’ve developed, like ‘Question Time’ (the weekly Australian politics quiz I ran as host of Friday Drive on Radio National in 2012-13) and The Chaser’s Media Circus on ABC TV.

Your career began working as an industrial relations lawyer in Sydney. Understanding the pressures on young lawyers, do you have any advice for them?

As a young lawyer I over-worked myself in a way that made me less effective and less happy. On reflection, that seems sub-optimal.

Can you give us a teaser of what your session at Symposium will entail?

‘Ethical Pursuit?’ operates like a CPD version of pub trivia. Lawyers who come along get a free glass of wine on arrival, and then use their phones to answer trivia questions about a subject that’s not at all trivial. Legal Ethics has never been this fun…which isn’t saying much.

What are the ethical-type questions that you will pose during your gameshow that can be answered true or false/yes or no? Trivia and ethics may seem an unlikely fit, but the quiz format actually makes for a clear and effective way to raise the full gamut of topics within ethics and professional responsibility.

And sure, lawyers’ ethical dilemmas in real life may not have answers which are as simple or clear as the answers to trivia questions. But I’d like to think lawyers who’ve been to ‘Ethical Pursuit?’ will feel more equipped to deal with those thorny issues if and when they arise.

What sort of massive prizes will this gameshow offer?

Wow. The prizes are so massive that I think it might technically be illegal to even mention them. Certainly unethical. Either that, or the prize is the CPD point.

How do you see the delivery of CPD in the future?

I’m sure that most CPD will stay fairly conventional, but I think there’s huge potential for innovation in on-demand, technology-based CPD…and I’m looking forward to being part of that!

What new technologies do you see being used to deliver legal education in the future?

Interactive video has huge potential. Imagine Black Mirror: Bandersnatch as a CPD module. OK, actually don’t. That’s terrifying. But I think combining new technology with the insights of behavioural economics has huge potential.

Do you have anything else you would like to add?

I’ve had a really positive response so far to ‘Ethical Pursuit?’. I’ve done sessions interstate for the Law Institute of Victoria and the Law Society of South Australia. My favourite bit of feedback was from a very senior practitioner who came along to the ‘Ethical Pursuit?’ in Melbourne. I remember seeing him when he arrived and thinking to myself, “you’re going to hate this”. He came up at the end of the night and said: ‘I’ve been going to CPD sessions about ethics for 40 years and that was the best one I’ve ever seen.” The poor man had clearly lost his marbles.
In 2020...

Lock in your professional development for the new year and secure your CPD requirements by 31 March 2020. qls.com.au/events
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New Year Profession Drinks
5.30–7.30pm
Brisbane
Join fellow QLS members at this relaxed, social evening to ring in the New Year.

11

Online marketing
Essentials | 12.30–1.30pm | 1 CPD
Online
Master your online marketing strategies. Create client leads, build a credible and trustworthy online presence and grow your business through cost-effective digital channels.

13

Practice Management Course: Sole practitioner to small practice focus
13–15 | PMC | 9am–5.30pm, 8.30am–5pm, 9am–1.30pm | 10 CPD
Brisbane
Develop the essential skills and knowledge required to manage a successful legal practice. Learn the art of attracting and retaining clients through marketing and client service techniques, and best billing practices. Find out how to develop a business plan and manage your practice finances in a profitable and financially sustainable way. Hear from the experts about new legal technology and how to manage business risk, trust accounting and legal ethics in this evolving legal environment.

19

Risks of using social media
Essentials | 12.30–1.30pm | 1 CPD
Online
Minimise the risks and maximise the benefits of using social media as a tool for self-promotion in the modern legal profession.

On-demand resources
Access our popular events online, anywhere, anytime and on any device.
qls.com.au/on-demand

Core CPD: 3 in 1 workshop

Essentials | 8.30am–12pm | 3 CPD
Brisbane
Gain your three core CPD points in one hit. Designed for practitioners of all experience levels, sessions will cover ethics, trusts, and costs.

Priced to sell: Finding the right pricing model

Essentials | 12.30–1.30pm | 1 CPD
Online
More and more clients are seeking fixed-fee services – but do you know how to successfully transition your firm from time-billing, or offer fixed-fee services without compromising the due care and consideration each matter requires? This livecast will discuss alternative pricing models and better place you to decide which model is right for you.

Specialist Accreditation Information Evening
5.30–7.30pm
Brisbane
If you are a full member of QLS and aspiring to become an Accredited Specialist in commercial litigation, business law, criminal law, personal injuries, workplace relations or immigration law, then we invite you to attend this complimentary information evening.

How to run a profitable practice

Essentials | 8.30am–12pm | 3 CPD
Brisbane
Running a law practice has a number of competing challenges; and your profitability is directly affected by many of these frictions than you may realise. This practical workshop will bring these profitability and organisational experts together to help you relieve the frictions, and boost your bottom line from multiple angles.

Career moves

Clifford Gouldson Lawyers
Clifford Gouldson Lawyers has welcomed Madeleine Foulby to the commercial and property team. Madeleine has varied property law experience, including conveyancing, leasing and business sales.

MBA Lawyers
MBA Lawyers has announced the appointment of Stephanie Murray as a partner. Stephanie, who joined the firm in 2017, is a QLS Accredited Specialist in Family Law, specialising in complex parenting and domestic violence matters.

McLaughlins Lawyers
McLaughlins Lawyers has announced the promotion of family lawyer and mediator Joelle Nei to associate director. Joelle has practiced in family law for more than 15 years and has experience in all areas of family law and domestic violence. Joelle volunteers with Women’s Legal Service and My Community Legal, and is a committee member of the Gold Coast District Law Association.

Slater and Gordon
Slater and Gordon has strengthened its Queensland medical law practice with the recruitment of Vicki Holmes as a principal lawyer in the medical negligence team. Vicki has more than 28 years’ experience in medical law claims in Australia and the United Kingdom, and has acted for clients in some of Australia’s largest and most complex medical law cases.

Want to focus on your area of law?

Shine Lawyers are now purchasing personal injury files.

We have a team of dedicated personal injury experts in Queensland who can get these cases moving, allowing your firm to concentrate on your core areas of law.

We are prepared to purchase your files in the areas of:

CONTACT
Simon Morrison
Managing Director
t 1800 842 046
s.morrison@shine.com.au

Shine Lawyers
The Human Rights Act 2019 (Qld) (the Act) heralds a significant change to the way in which administrative decisions are to be made in Queensland from 1 January 2020.

The Act introduces 23 civil, political, economic, social and cultural human rights, drawn from international treaties, with the fundamental objective of building a culture in Queensland whereby human rights are respected, protected and promoted. The legislation will impact public entity decision makers at all levels, including by:

- making it unlawful for public entities to act or make decisions that are not compatible with human rights, or that fail to take human rights into proper consideration when making decisions: ss9;
- requiring every statutory provision in Queensland, to the extent possible consistent with their purpose, to be interpreted in a way that is compatible, or most compatible, with human rights: s48;
- The human rights protected by the Act are not absolute. It will be permissible to “limit” a human right when taking action or making an administrative decision if it is “reasonable and demonstrably justifiable” to do so in a “free and democratic society based on human dignity, equality and freedom”, and by reference to the proportionality criteria in section 13 of the Act.

A person who is aggrieved by a decision can complain directly to the Queensland Human Rights Commission or, if they have another ground to commence action, to a court or tribunal. They can add on a human rights complaint the “pay-back” actions. There is no standalone legal remedy and no compensation is payable following a finding that a human right has been breached. The Human Rights Commissioner does, however, have broad powers to publish information about matters raised, including to recommend actions he thinks an entity should take to ensure compatibility with the Act.

The human rights protected

The 23 human rights protected in the Act appear straightforward in most cases, however, analysis of the Act often indicates that a case-by-case approach will be required to understand the nature and scope of each of the rights. The court or tribunal, when assessing a human rights claim, will test the “right to privacy and reputation” (s25), for example, covers five protections, namely, that a person’s privacy, family, home and correspondence must not be unlawfully or interfered with and a right to have reputation unlawfully attacked. The “protection from torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment” (s19) includes an obligation to ensure that informed consent is taken for medical treatment.

There is no hierarchy for the human rights although the “right to life” (s16) is regarded as the “supreme” right. It will often be necessary for decision makers to balance competing rights when justifying a decision to limit one or other of them. The “right to privacy” (for example, will usually be in competition with “freedom of expression” (s21) which, in turn, incorporates a “right to receive information”. It is expected that existing statutory information access schemes will adapt to allow a new legal framework to be established to assist decision makers to balance the competing rights.

Entities may be deemed as public entities on “functional” grounds if, for example, they are:
- an entity established by an Act when the entity is performing “functions of a public nature”: s17(1)(f);
- an entity whose “functions are, or include, functions of a public nature” when it is performing the functions for the state or a public entity (under a contract or otherwise): s17(1)(j);
- Some “functions of a public nature” are defined and include corrective services, emergency services, public health services, disability services, public education (including public tertiary and vocational education), public transport and certain housing services: s10(3). Otherwise, they will be assessed by non-exclusive criteria, including whether the function is conferred by statute, is connected to regulatory functions, or the nature of the entity is publicly funded or is a government-owned corporation.

There will be situations in which traditionally private businesses may be captured, such as, when private health providers are publicly funded to help clear public waiting lists or when a private university receives public funding for research activities. Questions have been raised to how they apply in a practical way, which functions the Act will apply to and which it will not.

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples

The special recognition of Aboriginal peoples and Torres Strait Islander peoples in the preamble is worth reflecting on: “Although human rights belong to all individuals, human rights have a special importance for the Aboriginal peoples and Torres Strait Islander peoples of Queensland, as Australia’s first people, with their distinctive cultural, historical, and diverse spiritual, material and economic relationship with the lands, territories, waters, coastal seas and other resources with which they have a connection under Aboriginal tradition and Ailan Kastom. Of particular significance to Aboriginal peoples and Torres Strait Islander peoples of Queensland is the right to self-determination.”

The Act protects cultural rights, generally, to ensure that all persons with particular cultural, religious, racial or linguistic backgrounds are able to enjoy their culture, to declare and practise their religion, and to have those languages (s27). Aboriginal peoples and Torres Strait Islander peoples are separately, and more deeply, recognised to hold distinct cultural rights (s28), including to enjoy, protect and develop their identity, cultural heritage, traditional knowledge, spiritual practices, beliefs, teachings, language, cultural expressions and kinship ties.

The right is also asserted to protect distinctive spiritual and economic relationship with the land, territories, waters, coastal seas and other resources, with which they have a connection under custom, and the right to conserve and protect the environment and productive capacity of these resources. Aboriginal peoples and Torres Strait Islander peoples also have the right not to be subjected to forced assimilation or destruction of their culture: s28(3).

In the absence of jurisprudence about the interpretation of the nature and scope of the rights in Queensland, it will be permissible to look to the Act and Victoria, as well as to international case law: s48(3). In thinking about the specific cultural rights for Aboriginal peoples and Torres Strait Islander peoples, it might be mentioned that overseas advocates are becoming increasingly creative in using human rights frameworks, including “right to life”, “right to protection of home”, and “cultural rights” to challenge government decisions that fail to adequately address or reduce the effects of climate change.

Making decisions in compliance with the Act

The Act requires decision makers to identify all of the human rights affected by the decision. The criteria in section 13 of the Act are to guide whether any proposed limitation is “reasonable” and “demonstrably justifiable”. These include considerations about the nature of the right, the importance of the proposed limitation, and whether there is any less restrictive way to achieve the purpose.

The prudent use of public resources will often be a legitimate factor when making decisions that limit human rights. However, without evidence, it will not necessarily be sufficient justification. There remains a place for reasoned policy and guidelines for decision makers, however, the higher the impact on the human right in question, the higher the onus will be on establishing why it should be limited.

Preparing for implementation

The substantive provisions for protecting the human rights will commence on 1 January 2020. To prepare for the operation of the new law, public sector entities should ensure that policies are reviewed for compatibility with the Act and that decision makers are equipped with training about the nature and scope of the human rights and with tools to make and record their decisions. Complaints processes should be adapted for managing and responding to human rights complaints. Perhaps eventually, as a Commonwealth, Australia will join other western democratic nations by introducing a standalone human rights document. In the meantime, welcome to Queensland, Human Rights Act 2019.
Q&A

My main focus to date has been on building Queensland's first Human Rights Commissioner to take on the ambitious project of implementing a robust human rights protection framework. As Queensland’s first Human Rights Commissioner my goal is to ensure that a genuine human rights culture is developed within all three arms of government. Achieving that goal would mean human rights are properly considered – not just afforded lip service – when new laws are made and when public servants are making decisions and formulating policy. I believe Queensland’s judiciary is well placed to contribute to, if not lead, the development of Australia’s human rights jurisprudence.

An overarching priority of the commission will be educating Queenslanders about the profound importance of human rights finally being comprehensively protected in law, and creating awareness of the potential of the Human Rights Act 2019 (the Act) to empower individuals and communities in their dealings with government. In terms of priority areas, it can be expected that the rights of people in ‘closed environments’, such as prisons, watchhouses, state-run aged care, secure mental health facilities etc., will be a focus to ensure that people deprived of their liberty are treated humanely. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people stand to benefit from the Act and promoting an understanding of their rights, and avenues for protection, will be an important responsibility of the commission.

The Human Rights Act 2019 (the Act) requires all public entities with legal representation in dealing with human rights complaints to act in a way which is reasonable and justified. It essentially calls upon decision makers to consider less impactful ways of achieving objectives, ensuring that the limitation is actually directed at that purpose and that the importance of preserving the human right is properly weighed. The starting point for decision makers considering the weight to be given to the preservation of human rights, should be a recognition of the ‘cherished’ value and importance of human rights in a healthy democracy. Protection of human rights has been hard fought for and should not be limited lightly.

What approach do you intend to adopt with legal representation in dealing with human rights complaints?

As a former lawyer I am well aware of the vital role that can be played by the profession in alternative dispute resolution. I anticipate the profession engaging with the human rights complaints process in a similar way to the conciliation of discrimination complaints. Alternative dispute resolution is an area in which improvements and reform should be considered to strengthen human rights. If yes, you are acting compatibly with human rights.

What do you expect the practical impact will be on government decision-making?

The Act introduces new obligations for public entities to act in a way that is compatible with human rights. What do you expect the practical impact will be on government decision-making?

The Act requires public entities to give proper consideration to human rights when exercising a discretion. The Victorian cases have made clear that this requires a common sense approach. At a practical level, the impact of the obligation should be improved decision-making through the early identification of risks and of stakeholder interests.

To build a genuine human rights culture it needs to be more than a compliance exercise, and to date I have been impressed by the willingness of public sector leaders to embrace the changes as a means of improving the quality of their agency’s services. The Act permits human rights to be limited in a way which is reasonable and justified. Do you have any expectations about how the balancing should be approached?

A key feature of the Act is the ‘proportionality test’ to be applied in deciding whether the limitation of a right can be justified in law. Queensland’s version of the ‘lawful limitations clause’ in my view represents a best practice model for structured and ethical decision-making. It essentially calls upon decision makers to consider less impactful ways of achieving objectives, ensuring that the limitation is actually directed at that purpose and that the importance of preserving the human right is properly weighed.

When can human rights be limited?

The following is a brief guide to assessing for compatibility under the Act and giving proper consideration to human rights. More detailed guidance and information about the scope of each right, as well as a Public Entity Toolkit, is available at qhrc.qld.gov.au

STEP 1: Identify relevant rights

Consider each of the rights protected under the Act and to see which are relevant to the situation. Rights may be broader than they first seem.

STEP 2: Consider whether rights are being limited by your action or inaction

Will your decision limit or interfere with the relevant rights you’ve identified? If no, you are acting compatibly with human rights. If yes, you should move to step 3.

STEP 3: To lawfully limit a right you must:

Be authorised

What law or regulation allows you to limit a person’s rights? If you can’t identify a law or regulation then you may not be able to limit rights.

Be justified and proportionate

Determine whether your limitation of a person’s rights is justified and proportionate in the circumstances, taking into account all relevant factors including:

a. the nature of the rights: What does the human right(s) protect?

b. What are the values that underpin the right?

c. the nature of the purpose of the limitation: What is your purpose for limiting a human right? What are you trying to achieve by your decision or action?

d. the relationship between the limitation and its purpose: What is the purpose of the limitation and is it proportionate to the harm caused by the limitation?

e. the balance between the importance of the purpose of the limitation and the importance of preserving the human right: Consider whether the benefits gained by fulfilling the purpose of the limitation outweigh the harm caused to the human right.
TIME FOR A HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

Graphic developed from information provided by Queensland Human Rights Commission

AUSTRALIA’S FIRST HUMAN RIGHTS BILL

December - Queensland Premier Frank Nicklin introduces Australia’s first human rights Bill, the Constitution (Declaration of Rights) Bill 1959, to State Parliament. The Bill aims to protect only two rights – to habeas corpus and to just compensation for seizures of property – and lapses at the next state election, six months after its introduction.

1948

HUMAN RIGHTS DECLARATION ADOPTED

10 December - Universal Declaration of Human Rights adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations following the end of World War II.

1989

THE FITZGERALD INQUIRY

The Fitzgerald Inquiry report leads to establishment of the Electoral and Administrative Review Commission, which in turn recommends Queensland adopt a Bill of Rights.  

1998

‘INORDINATE LEGAL, SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC COSTS’

The parliamentary Legal, Constitutional and Administrative Review Committee recommends against Queensland adopting a Bill of Rights, citing concerns including “inordinate legal, social and economic costs” and “a significant and inappropriate transfer of power” from the parliament to the judiciary. The committee instead recommends “widespread education” of the community about their rights and “enhancing a rights culture” in government law and policy-making.

2015

INVESTIGATION INTO A BILL OF RIGHTS

Independent MP Peter Wellington agrees to support the formation of a minority Labor government under Annastacia Palaszczuk, in return for several considerations, one of which is an investigation into a Bill of Rights for Queensland.

2016

GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE CONDUCTS INQUIRY

The parliamentary Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee conducts an inquiry into a possible Human Rights Act for Queensland. A Human Rights Act is supported by the majority of submitters to the inquiry and is recommended by government members of the committee.

2018

SCRUTINY OF THE BILL

Attorney-General Yvette D’Ath introduces the Human Rights Bill 2018 to Parliament and it is referred to the Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee for inquiry. The committee recommends the Bill be passed.

2020

PROVISIONS OF THE ACT


HUMAN RIGHTS ON-DEMAND RESOURCE NOW AVAILABLE IN THE QLS SHOP

Human Rights Act—what it means for your clients | 1 CPD | SL

This livecast features Queensland Human Rights Commissioner Scott McDougall and focuses on the increased responsibility under the new Act to manage human rights as well as discrimination law, and what this means for legal practitioners.
At the top end of town, technological innovation is being applied to improve business efficiency, cut costs, and better serve clients. Yet there is little application where it could arguably provide the most benefit. Today, many people struggle to afford legal assistance, or even find and apply legal information on their own when they experience disputes affecting their basic rights in housing, employment, consumer and family matters.

The opportunity

Considering the challenge, there is huge scope for improvement; not to mention a significant legal market that hasn’t traditionally been able to access legal services in appropriate and affordable ways. Along with properly funded legal aid and pro bono assistance, innovation has a role to play in assisting vulnerable clients and the underserved missing middle. Opportunities for innovation include new approaches to legal education, adapting legal business models and utilizing technology.

What is possible

In terms of technology, there are examples from near and far showcasing applications which increase access to justice. Providing online legal information and assistance directly to consumers Legal tech start-ups with a mission to increase access to justice and legal assistance are in existence. They are making legal information available via apps, web forms and document automation. There is also a growing trend among entrepreneurial lawyers to unbundlize, productize and package legal services.

In the A2J Innovation clinic I supervised at LawRight, we configured a prototype to assist claimants file a claim for unpaid wages in the Federal Circuit Court and to prepare a ‘life story’ for review of a negative notice for a Blue Card in the Queensland Civil and Administrative Tribunal. Lawyers used A2J Author, a cloud-based document assembly software tool (there are many such tools, not all open source) developed in the United States where 1.1 million interlocutions have been developed across 42 states. Since 2005, 4.7 million guided interviews have been run and 2.6 million documents assembled on issues ranging from joint dissolution of marriage, name changes petitions, a brief builder to assist veterans, custody and visitation matters, tenant bond claims, applications for waiver of court fees and costs, post-judgment collections guide and many others. Penda, developed by Women’s Legal Service Queensland, is “Australia’s first financial empowerment app for women with a domestic and family violence (DFV) focus. Penda is a free, simple-to-use app with national safety, financial and legal information and referrals for women who have experienced DFV”.

In the US, start-ups such as Upsolve, JustFixInc and SoloSuit provide online tailored assistance on specific legal issues. Upsolve assists people with bankruptcy, and recently claimed to have relieved $100 million in total debt for low-income families across the United States. JustFixInc assists with tenancy matters and SoloSuit with defending debts, in Australia. Fine Fixer assists people in Victoria with information on what to do when they receive a fine. Some of these solutions incorporate back-end data collection enabling (privacy-protected) analysis of legal need which can be drawn upon for systemic advocacy. The ideas for these businesses are sometimes generated in hackathons, incubators and law school programs. In the 12 months since I compiled and published a list of Australian courses, many more such courses have been launched and I predict this trend to continue.

In the US also there are many examples of project-based multidisciplinary university courses that focus on improving access to justice.11 Ongoing funding for some of these ideas is provided by universities, government and philanthropy (which is much more common in the US). I mentioned earlier the trend for lawyers to unbundle and productise legal services. This increases access to justice for clients who previously struggled to make appointments in CBD locations during office hours but who can now obtain information from home without having to take time off work or arrange childcare. It may also assist those in regional, rural or remote areas and those with disabilities,14 especially if the fixed fee for the product is more affordable than being billed by the hour.

Another US example is Paladin, a public benefit corporation which “helps law firms, companies and law schools manage their pro bono with streamlined sourcing, tracking and outcome reporting on a modern, tech-forward platform”.

Closer to home, Justice Connect’s Legal Help Gateway allows for online intake and triage so that someone can use it to identify which of that community legal centre’s services are most appropriate. Justice Connect says that “the first step taken to process applications has dropped between 22 and 44% across programs when compared with phone-based intake”.18 Using human-centred design principles, Justice Connect has also developed an online referral tool and pro bono portal pilot.

Future applications

Virtual reality and artificial intelligence (AI) are examples of technology that could increase access in the future. The Learned Hands project, a collaboration between the Stanford Legal Design Lab and Suffolk Law School LiT Lab, is using machine learning to create a taxonomy of legal terms with a legal gloss to people’s plain language questions (which contain a legal issue) to legal assistance.20

The challenge

Considering innovation, as great challenges must be at the forefront of our minds when considering what needs new approaches to legal education, adapting legal business models and utilizing technology. When you think about legal innovation, do you think of access to justice?

If not, why not? Access to justice is linked to poverty reduction and inclusive growth, two factors crucial for a properly functioning rule of law. However, providing justice to all who need access is one of the legal profession’s largest challenges. Arguably, access to justice must be at the forefront of our minds when considering innovation, as great challenges often provide significant opportunity.

Two years on, the number of self-represented litigants making their way through the courts is increasing around the world, challenging court resources and the overall administration of justice. It is an enormous challenge for the courts to deal with, while an additional 10,000 people a year are facing the courts alone due to backlogs.15 This figure doesn’t take account of those people who either don’t identify that they have a legal issue or who do not seek help from legal sources.16

In the US in 2016, with funding of $1M and project management expertise provided by Microsoft, the Legal Services Commission and Pro Bono Net announced the development of a prototype access to justice ‘portal’.

“Drawing on state-of-the-art cloud and internet technologies, this portal will enable people to navigate the court system and legal aid resources, learn about their legal rights and prepare and file critical court documents in a way that is accessible, comprehensive and easy to navigate. The ultimate goal is to help people every step of the way toward addressing their legal problem.”16 Today, that prototype incorporates artificial intelligence so that people can “talk to the system and be directed to the most appropriate legal assistance.”

Another example is Palaven, a public benefit corporation which “helps law firms, companies and law schools manage their pro bono with streamlined sourcing, tracking and outcome reporting on a modern, tech-forward platform”.

Close to home, Justice Connect’s Legal Help Gateway allows for online intake and triage so that someone can use it to identify which of that community legal centre’s services are most appropriate. Justice Connect says that “the first step taken to process applications has dropped between 22 and 44% across programs when compared with phone-based intake”. Using human-centred design principles, Justice Connect has also developed an online referral tool and pro bono portal pilot.

Future applications

Virtual reality and artificial intelligence (AI) are examples of technology that could increase access in the future. The Learned Hands project, a collaboration between the Stanford Legal Design Lab and Suffolk Law School LiT Lab, is using machine learning to create a taxonomy of legal terms with a legal gloss to people’s plain language questions (which contain a legal issue) to legal assistance.
At the end of October, Justice Connect announced it would also be "building AI to diagnose a legal problem, and the funding to 'train a natural language processing model to diagnose legal problems in the natural language of everyday Australians using anonymous data captured through its online intake tool'" to assist people to connect online to appropriate services. At Harvard’s A2J Lab, researchers are testing the idea that, if people experience the court room via virtual reality, they will feel better prepared and less intimidated, which will lead to better outcomes. This technology could also assist junior lawyers or lawyers running a pro bono case in an area of law in which they don’t usually practise.

System reform

Online dispute resolution and professional regulation also have a role to play in access to justice. While concerns exist about the quality of automated services and remedies available should they fail, assuming that the usual consumer protections apply there may be scope for a client to pay less for a legal service, bear more risk (or indeed waive some rights) in proportion to the gravity or quantum of a dispute. After all, all kits have been available in newsagencies for years. While regulators advise lawyers to only provide produced online assistance in conjunction with accompanying review of the information provided by the client, by a lawyer (even if the online tool was developed by a lawyer), the cost to the client is likely to remain higher than if such products were offered independently and directly to consumers. Currently, if a legal tech solution were to be offered directly or with the assistance of an unlicensed person, this is likely to lead to an abrogation of unauthorised practice of law.

So, while lawyers maintain a monopoly on the provision of legal advice there are limits on innovation. Considering these topics in any detail is outside the scope of this article, although I refer to the system of limited license legal technicians in Washington State for consideration. What Australia needs

In the US, the Legal Services Commission recently announced $5 million in grants for technology projects. Pro Bono Net, the A2J Tech Store, Legal Tech for a Change and other organisations provide projects and support to legal assistance organisations. In Australia, grants for such activities are tied to organisational funding for service delivery and are limited. In Victoria the Public Sector Innovation Fund has financed some projects and in late October the Victorian Legal Services Board and commissioner released its list of successful applicants who met the requirements of using technology and/or human-centred design oriented interventions to interrupt, streamline or change legal services and the justice system to improve access to justice. In New South Wales an access to justice innovation fund was announced in February this year and recently the Queensland Government announced $10,000 per centre for upgrades to digital infrastructure an issue being supported by the work of Community Legal Centres Qld. Australia must do more to fund appropriate development of sustainable solutions which may alleviate the access to justice crisis. Leadership from those in positions of power ought to support the growing numbers of lawyers and entrepreneurs who are prepared to experiment with new approaches for this purpose, to encourage prudential exploration and proper evaluation with appropriate financial support and organisational infrastructure.

Conclusion

To avoid exacerbating disadvantage, any innovation including technology should be co-developed with experts from other disciplines, including social scientists, and take a human-centred design approach to ensure it is ‘fit for purpose’ and effectively meets the needs of the people it aims to assist.

Use of technology by community legal centres, especially client-facing solutions, will remain limited under traditional funding models, particularly if it is perceived to exacerbate social exclusion. Access to justice is the responsibility of all, and while more of the missing middle will be served by entrepreneurial lawyers unbundling and commoditising legal services that kind of innovation may remain constrained by legal regulation.

Legal education will also contribute, but deeper collaboration between universities, law firms and legal assistance organisations needs to occur before those solutions are sustainable and have any significant impact on improving access to justice. In the near future, any significant change to our judicial system seems unlikely.

While there are examples now of innovative approaches aiming to improve access to justice, perhaps it is the current generation of students and graduates — digital natives who have familiarity with all kinds of technology, greater expectations for digital service delivery, and understanding of the importance of human-centred design principles — who will embrace the opportunity to carefully leverage appropriate and effective technology to create a different future, one providing greater access to justice for all.

Andrea Perry-Petersen is a consultant, podcaster and lawyer with a background in community law and human rights. Andrea has a keen interest in design thinking in human services, digital innovation and new models of multidisciplinary collaboration. She is a member of the QLS Innovation Committee, the year 5 QLS Innovation in Law award recipient and a Churchill Fellow.
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Interlocutory costs orders

A guide to their purpose and principles

BY KYLIE DOWNES QC AND MAXWELL WALKER

Costs orders contain terms of art and technical language that may confuse practitioners.

This article explores the different types of interlocutory costs orders and will assist practitioners to negotiate the terms of consent orders, provide better advice to their clients’ rights and obligations under the various species of costs awards.

An entitlement to costs – who is paying and who is getting paid?

The first issue is to determine whether the circumstances are such that a court would make a costs order, and whether any factors exist which may persuade the court to favour one party or another in relation to an award of costs.

General rule

The general principle for all costs awards in all state courts is rule 681 of the Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999 (UCPR), which provides that “[c]osts of a proceeding, including any application in a proceeding, are in the discretion of the court but follow the event, unless the court orders otherwise.”

This means that, if a party is successful in obtaining or opposing relief in an interlocutory application, that party is generally entitled to costs.

The costs of a proceeding (that is, the costs awarded on judgment after trial) do not include the costs of an application in the proceeding (an interlocutory proceeding), unless the court orders otherwise.

Therefore, unless a costs order is made in relation to an interlocutory application (either one party paying another, or costs reserved or made costs in the proceeding), the entitlement to claim those interlocutory costs, including at the end of the matter, would ordinarily be lost.

Specific rules

Some interlocutory steps are subject to specific rules under the UCPR. For example, rule 286 applies to costs thrown away as a result of an amendment, requiring the costs thrown away by the amendment to be paid by the party making the amendment unless the court orders otherwise. Other specific rules apply to freezing orders, search orders, summary judgment, strike out applications and costs of ADR processes.

Should an alternative order be made?

It is impossible to catalogue the circumstances in which a departure from the rule that costs follow the event would be justified in interlocutory proceedings. The discretion to depart from the rule is a broad one. An alternative costs order is exceptional and compelling circumstances need to be shown.

Below is a non-exhaustive list of some circumstances where alternative orders may be made.

a. Delay and other misconduct

Delay or other misconduct is a reason to depart from the rule if the costs on the order made are justified. For example, if leave were required to withdraw an admission, an order could be made to pay the costs of the applicant on the basis of the application, affidavit in support and proposed amended pleadings, and executing consent orders.

A party applying for the extension or shortening of a time set under the rules must pay the costs of the application, unless the court orders otherwise.

b. Offers to settle

Parties to interlocutory applications should consider making a ‘without prejudice’ offer to settle the applications, as an unreasonable refusal to accept such an offer may impact on the costs order which is made. For example, it may mean that a successful party is deprived of its costs or an unsuccessful party is required to pay indemnity costs, depending on which party made the offer which was not accepted.

Negotiations are usually conducted in writing by proposing a draft order and draft request for consent order which contain the precise orders that are offered to resolve the application.

The correspondence should be marked “without prejudice save as to costs” so that it is without prejudice except that it can be relied on in respect of submissions as to costs if the offer is not accepted.

Whether the party seeking the indulgence is successful or not, that party generally pays the costs of the other parties, unless the grant of leave has been unreasonably opposed or some other reason exists for refusing costs. Because of this, parties seeking an indulgence should consider making offers that may render opposition unreasonable. For example, if leave were required to withdraw an admission, an offer could be made to pay the costs of the applicant on the basis of the application, affidavit in support and proposed amended pleadings, and executing consent orders.

c. Costs of an application

Costs of an application are generally payable by the other party, unless the party seeking the indulgence should pay the costs of the application. The court has a discretion to depart from the rule. An alternative costs order is appropriate in the circumstances where the delay and other misconduct is such that costs are unreasonable in relation to the matter.

This means that, if a party is successful in obtaining or opposing relief in an interlocutory application, that party is generally entitled to costs.

Different types of costs orders

Costs in the proceeding

“Costs in the proceeding” means that the successful party in the proceeding as a whole (that is, after final judgment) obtains the costs of the interlocutory application.

It is not appropriate in Queensland state courts to use the expression “costs in the cause”, which was replaced by the concept of “costs in the proceeding.”

An order for costs in the proceeding can be formulated such that it is only if a particular party is successful in the overall proceeding that it gets its costs of the interlocutory step, for example, that costs of an application for “the plaintiff’s costs in the proceeding.”

Reserved costs

“Reserved costs” means, in summary, that the costs will be dealt with by the trial judge. Reserved costs follow the event, unless the court orders otherwise.

The courts have identified certain types of applications for which an order for reserved costs or costs in the proceeding may be more appropriate than an immediate order for costs in favour of the successful party.

Whether this will occur in any particular case is determined by the circumstances of each case, and subject to the overriding discretion of the court. Examples include summary judgment applications that are unsuccessful (unless the applicant ought to have known it would fail if it was brought to obtain a strategic advantage), and the costs of interlocutory injunction applications in which the applicant has shown a prima facie case.

However, there is a broad discretion to reserve costs or make them costs in the proceeding, or to make no order as to costs, and factors that may support such a course include when there is mixed success on different issues in an application.

Basis of assessment

An award of costs is taken to be an award of costs “to be assessed” unless the court orders otherwise. Costs can be assessed on the standard or indemnity basis.

If an order does not nominate a basis of assessment (for example, by saying “the defendant pay the plaintiff’s costs of the application”), or adds to that phrasing “as assessed” or “as assessed on the standard basis”, the costs will be assessed on the standard basis. This is the default basis of assessment unless the order specifically nominates that costs be paid “on the indemnity basis” or “as assessed on the indemnity basis” (which mean the same thing).
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I am a Griffith University student in my fifth year of a double degree in law and environmental science.

Throughout my time at university I have continued to ask myself: How can I stand out? What are the skills I’m going to need in the future, and how can I learn those now? These questions play a role in my development as a law student, but I didn’t make real progress until I made a commitment to take action.

I had been a keen interest in maritime law since the beginning of 2018. The idea of working with multiple jurisdictions and being part of a system which connects the world appealed to me greatly. However, as a girl raised in a small country town, I did not grow up around either water or ships. I knew nothing about the industry, and my inner critic wondered how I could expect to be brilliant in such a specialised field without understanding maritime systems or the daily lives of the people working within them. I knew I needed some first-hand experience in order to learn, but I wasn’t sure what this would be.

One day I found myself searching the internet for ‘cargo ship trip’, and three results for cargo ship voyages appeared. Over the next few days I decided that a journey on a cargo ship would be the first-hand experience I was looking for, and I booked an eight-day voyage from Fremantle, Western Australia, to Singapore! On 21 June this year I was cleared by security at Fremantle Port and, before I knew it, I was climbing the stairs of the CC Georgia! At almost 300 metres long and carrying 5500 containers, the ship was overwhelming. I must admit I had a preconceived idea about the life of a seafarer. Even though I had studied a maritime law subject and was aware that there were many regulations in place to ensure safety at sea, I wasn’t convinced that they were followed, and I wasn’t sure a ship could go days without seeing land, it seemed that it would be easy to slack off without anyone noticing.

The remainder of the day was spent in the port, as cargo was still being unloaded and loaded. It was the closest I’d been to the huge cranes that lift the containers, and I was blown away at how they coordinate the loading and unloading process. And I finally understood how they locked the containers together, so they were stable on the voyage! I was just in time for lunch, where I met the rest of the crew and learnt that 11 members were men from Sri Lanka, working as the navigation crew and asman. The other members were 10 men and one woman from China, who were navigation crew and engineers.

Early the next morning we prepared to leave the port and I was encouraged to come onto the bridge (the highest storey of the ship used for steering and navigation) to observe everything that was happening and ask questions, as long as I wasn’t interfering with the work. I watched in amazement as the pilot and captain worked together to complete a 180-degree turn in a narrow port and navigated the shallow waters. As we moved out of the port and onto the open sea, I soon realised just how boring this could possibly be. I felt quite alone for the first few days, as there was a lot more work on the ship than I expected. The seafarers worked all day, every day. The captain was in the office constantly planning, communicating, making sure that they kept up with regulations and other necessary paperwork. I barely saw the engineers, as each day they were downstairs making sure the ship was running smoothly and maintaining the machinery.

One of the greatest experiences was being allowed into the engine room. I had to check a day in advance, and re-check at breakfast on the day that it was still okay to visit, and I was met by the engineer who ensured I was wearing all the right safety gear. My concerns about slacking on safety were definitely being challenged. The engine room semi-terrorism me; the engine itself is four storeys tall! They showed me everything it takes to keep the ship running. One of the most interesting parts was the desalination plant which provided everyone on the ship with clean washing water! The control room was full of dials and switches and I am truly in awe of how brilliant these engineers are. I spent the majority of my time on the bridge with the crew on watch duty and I had plenty of time to talk with them about their life at sea. Luckily, I was on what is considered a safe route, but many of them have travelled dangerous routes past the east coast of Africa where the piracy rate is high.

I wasn’t fully aware of just how relevant piracy still is, but on those routes they have armed guards aboard the ships, and ropes on the side of the ships that can be released to snap the propellers of pirate boats that come close. One of the men told me about being aboard a ship where they had barbed wire surrounding the entire vessel!

Another crew member said that he was working on a vessel that was carrying a shipment of nuclear substances. In this situation every crew member had been given the chance to disembark and be sent to work on another ship if they didn’t feel comfortable transporting it. There are so many situations that I never would have thought of before talking with the seafarers.

One of the most interesting parts of learning about maritime law thus far was how complex issues surrounding jurisdiction can be. The CC Georgia was flying under a Maltese flag, for a French company; I couldn’t access details of who owned it. However, this wasn’t a problem. However, this lack of communication did build on my concern over safety standards aboard ships.

There is always a crew member on watch duty in the middle of the night, in the middle of the ocean. The watch person on duty must press a reset alarm which goes off every five minutes to make sure they are alert! The chances of groundings, collisions and piracy are all too real out there and help can be hundreds of miles away. Thankfully this voyage went smoothly, but it gave me a whole new appreciation of international trade.

Making the decision to take action and do something completely out of the box can be daunting, but when you step outside your comfort zone, we don’t know what greatness we are capable of.

I spent many of my university trimesters stressing about which direction I should go and what decisions to make, but in hindsight the worst decision I could make was to choose in those circumstances was not deciding at all.

If I decide that maritime law isn’t for me, this experience will still be invaluable. In taking action to pursue my dreams, I have learnt to be comfortable being uncomfortable, and to open my mind to every possibility.
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Secret client base is dishonest

BY STAFFORD SHEPHERD

The Full Court of the Supreme Court of South Australia in Legal Practitioners Conduct Board v Patterson struck off a practitioner who had maintained a secret client base while employed by a legal practice.

The facts

The practitioner was admitted in 2000 at the age of 52. He practised initially in criminal law and criminal injuries compensation. Prior to his admission he had been a police officer and rose to the rank of acting inspector.

In December 2003, he accepted a position as a senior associate working in industrial law. The practitioner resigned from this position in May 2005.

The Legal Practitioners Disciplinary Tribunal (the tribunal) found that in the years 2002 to 2009 the practitioner engaged in a number of acts of dishonesty. The tribunal sought an order that the name of the practitioner be removed from the roll of legal practitioners. The practitioner did not oppose this order.

His acts of dishonesty fell into two classes. The first was that, while in the employ of at least two legal practices, he maintained a ‘secret client base’. The practitioner acted for a number of clients in criminal matters (mainly drug-related charges) without the knowledge or permission of his employers.

The practitioner undertook work for these ‘clients’ without opening files, recording times, entering into fee arrangements and without accounting for the monies received to his employers. The payments for the services rendered by the practitioner were received in cash and amounted to many thousands of dollars.

The tribunal found this conduct to be dishonest. The practitioner’s evidence that the work performed was minor and essentially pro bono, and in respect of which he did not anticipate payment, was rejected.

The second class related to the practitioner conducting work in breach of the terms of the restricted practising certificate or engaging in legal practice without a practising certificate.

The Full Court considered that the totality of the circumstances supported a finding that the practitioner “lacks the qualities of character and trustworthiness which are necessary attributes of a person entrusted with the responsibilities and privileges of a legal practitioner”.1

The Full Court noted that public interest demands that practitioners behave properly and be accountable, and if the court tolerated behaviour of maintaining a secret client base then the profession would be brought into disrepute.

The conduct was characterised as behaviour that abused the privileges that accompany our position as officers of the court. The public was to be protected from those in the legal profession who are “ignorant of the basic rules of proper professional practice or indifferent to rudimentary professional requirements”.2

The Full Court ordered that the practitioner’s name be removed from the roll.

Conclusion

What can be learned from this decision?

Firstly, we should be absolutely transparent in our dealings with our employers. Secret client bases and payments under the table are dishonest, and public interest would demand such behaviour is not to be tolerated.

Secondly, if we wish to do pro bono work outside our work environment, then we discuss this with our employer. This is again to ensure transparency and to avoid conflicts either of duty or personal interest.

Thirdly, we have a duty to understand the restrictions (if any) on our entitlement to practice and to comply with such restrictions.

Fourthly, the failure to open client files, to record time properly, to bill in accordance with the disclosure made to the client and the cost agreements entered into could be either unsatisfactory professional conduct or professional misconduct.

Fifthly, we owe certain fiduciary duties to our employer. Secret profits made from secret client bases could be recoverable due to our obligation to account.

Stafford Shepherd is the Director of the Queensland Law Society Ethics and Practice Centre.

Note

2 Ibid [9].

Stafford Shepherd is the Director of the Queensland Law Society Ethics and Practice Centre.

qls.com.au/awards
De facts – the I do’s and I don’ts

WITH CHRISTINE SMYTH

Love and marriage go together like a horse and carriage...

It seems for many Australians the crone’s chorus is not a melody which resonates, because, as of 2016, some 1,751,424 Australians had chosen to be in a de facto relationship. Nationally, that equates to just over 10% of our population, but in Queensland it is as high as 12%.2

Perhaps it was for that reason the Queensland State Parliament saw fit to...
2019: CaseLaw upgrades and more

As 2019 draws to a close I thank Queensland Law Society and its members for your continued support and patronage of the library over the last 12 months.

For us in the library, it has been another buoyant year in which much has been accomplished. Perhaps our most significant achievement has been the substantial improvements made to our CaseLaw service, a comprehensive collection of the official unreported decisions of Queensland courts and tribunals. CaseLaw now provides free, universal access to a range of functionality and content previously only available to paying subscribers. It also links to the authorised versions of decisions in the Queensland Reports.

We’ve received positive feedback about the new CaseLaw advanced search and collection-specific search options, which enable you to tailor your research to find more relevant and useful results. You can also now customise your search results view, easily navigate between cases, and select and save decisions to your My case results view, easily navigate between cases, and select and save decisions to

Other highlights for 2019 include:

• launching #LawLibraryQueensland, our official Twitter account – follow us for alerts to newly published civil judgments of the Queensland Court of Appeal and Supreme Court Trial Division, and library news and events, with more to come
• attracting more users and increased use of our popular Virtual Legal Library (VLL) service (sclqld.org.au/vll)
• responding to over 6500 information enquiries and research requests
• commencing a major project to redevelop our websites to help our customers find the information they need more easily
• welcoming visitors to our exhibition, Overturning terra nullius: the story of native title, which explores the cases that were particularly influential in shaping native title law reform (sclqld.org.au/native-title) – visit the exhibition in Sir Harry Gibbs Legal Heritage Centre, Monday to Friday, 8.30am to 4.30pm
• launching our brand new podcast series – subscribe to listen to lectures from our popular Selden Society lecture series (sclqld.org.au/podcast)
• hosting a justice system-themed art exhibition in the library space, Next Witness by Julie Frazer.

We attended and presented at several key QLS conferences throughout the year to raise awareness of the library and promote our free services for QLS members. It was great to meet lots of new members at these events and see some familiar faces. We were especially excited to attend our first QLS Career Expo, where we met with over 100 law students and future members of the profession.

We look forward to working with you again in 2020. From everyone at your law library, we wish you a safe, relaxing and enjoyable festive season.

Christmas book sale

Stuck for Christmas gift ideas or just want to treat yourself to a good read? Order one of the library’s publications from us before 18 December and get 25% off – includes free delivery within Brisbane CBD.

Visit sclqld.org.au/publications for a full list of titles. Phone 07 3247 4373 to place an order by credit card.

Christmas closure

The library will be closed for the duration of the Christmas court closure, from Monday 23 December 2019 to Friday 3 January 2020 inclusive.

Equipping for success in a changing legal market

There’s been a lot of discussion about the changing legal landscape.

The delivery of legal services has seen the introduction of automation and artificial intelligence (AI), the national profile of solicitors has seen an increase in women and practising certificate holders under the age of 35,3 but perhaps the most important change legal practitioners need to pay attention to is the change in consumer needs and expectations.

Are you equipped to meet their expectations?

Clients are spoiled for choice when it comes to selecting their legal service provider. They are becoming more conscious about how and where they spend their money. As a result, just having a solution to a client’s legal problem isn’t enough anymore. The solution has to be delivered quickly, conveniently and relatively ‘cheaply’.

How can you do this without compromising the quality of legal services you provide?

Step 1:

Understand and embrace technology as a tool – not a replacement or a threat.

Step 2:

Focus on what sets you apart (as a human and a practitioner).

Step 3:

Continuously work towards improving your problem-solving and professional toolkit. The legal profession has undergone a paradigm shift – has your approach?

According to LawGeex, “The contract review platform achieved a 94% accuracy rate at surfacing risks in the sample of never seen before [NDAs]. This compared to an average of 85% for the experienced lawyers.”

When we know better, we can do better. So when we know there are applications which can complete certain tasks at a fraction of the cost and with increased accuracy, would it not make commercial sense to use such technologies to assist your practice? This would then allow you to spend your (billable) time on tasks requiring higher order thinking and perhaps offer a more competitive fee.

This takes us to Step 2 – focusing on what sets you apart: the human brand.

Clients are the centrepiece of our profession. Spending the time getting to know your client, offering warmth and competence – this is your competitive edge. Sharpening that edge by investing in education or training to improve your interpersonal skills is highly recommended. Communication is a two-way street. We not only have to speak to our clients in a language they understand, but we also have to listen to what is not being said, visual cues, circumstantial or external factors – information we can only discover by asking the right questions.

This takes us to Step 3 – continuously improving your personal and professional toolkit. The legal profession has undergone a paradigm shift – has your approach?

Notes


2. Ibid.

Why we must be climate conscious

How legal needs are changing

BY MONICA TAYLOR

As global calls for action on climate change grow resoundingly louder, the profession must also consider how it conceptualises the impact of climate change in daily legal practice.

Four years ago, the Land and Environment Court of New South Wales Chief Justice Brian Preston SC called for lawyers to adopt a climate-conscious approach. Addressing an audience of legal ethicists, his Honour said:

“Climate change is often seen as a global problem, one that is remote and removed from the daily practice of lawyers and courts. But in fact climate change is a multi-scaler problem. It is as much a small scale, local and immediate issue as it is a global issue...

Recognising that addressing climate change depends on responses on a small scale and that any legal action which involves climate change issues will impact on climate change policy gives rise to a responsibility on lawyers to be aware of climate change issues in daily legal practice. For lawyers working across the spectrum of legal practice, this means that any legal action which involves climate change consequences will implicate the publicly-funded legal service client profile.9 Many additional client groups such as climate refugees and people with disabilities whose legal problems also intersect with climate change events will face specific barriers to accessing justice. A climate-conscious approach to lawyering in the legal assistance sector requires us to embed climate change consequences into the legal support and advice we provide to our clients.

Four years ago, the Land and Environment Court of New South Wales Chief Justice Brian Preston SC called for lawyers to adopt a climate-conscious approach. Addressing an audience of legal ethicists, his Honour said:

“Climate change is often seen as a global problem, one that is remote and removed from the daily practice of lawyers and courts. But in fact climate change is a multi-scaler problem. It is as much a small scale, local and immediate issue as it is a global issue...

Recognising that addressing climate change depends on responses on a small scale and that any legal action which involves climate change issues will impact on climate change policy gives rise to a responsibility on lawyers to be aware of climate change issues in daily legal practice. For lawyers working across the spectrum of legal practice, this means that any legal action which involves climate change consequences will implicate the publicly-funded legal service client profile.9 Many additional client groups such as climate refugees and people with disabilities whose legal problems also intersect with climate change events will face specific barriers to accessing justice. A climate-conscious approach to lawyering in the legal assistance sector requires us to embed climate change consequences into the legal support and advice we provide to our clients.

As our summers become longer and hotter, they carry increased risks of bushfire and drought, but also paradoxically, increased risks of extreme rainfall and flooding. Life will become more uncomfortable and unpleasant for those without access to air-conditioning, proximity to council facilities or cooling breezes, which includes population cohorts who typically fit the publicly-funded legal service client profile. These clients are most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change because people who experience poverty and inequality generally have the least capacity to cope, adapt, move on and recover from climate change events.4

A scan of Queenslanders who experience legal need shows how climate change is set to become a ‘fundamental legal disruptor’ or ‘whole of legal system problem’.9 Vulnerable Queenslanders include the elderly and people with chronic disease, with these demographics expected to experience poorer health outcomes due to climate change. The link between climate change and physical health impacts is conclusive, with climate change likely to increase heat-related illnesses and deaths, worsening asthma and allergies, diseases spread by food, water and insects, increased incidence of infectious diseases and mental health impacts, and increased suicide risk.4

It is estimated that Queensland will have an additional 300,000 older people by 2036, prompting an increase in legal need across health law, elder law, succession law and conceivably also elder abuse issues. Additionally, including for the younger cohort of the population, mental health problems such as the recently coined ‘eco-anxiety’,8 depression and stress are on the rise, with foreseeable flow-on effects in family violence law, employment, and credit and debt issues.4

In an Australian study, researchers found that there is a positive correlation between mean annual maximum temperature and suicide rates.2 From a service design perspective, resourcing to upscale health justice partnerships across Queensland will become more important. The impact of climate change will be most directly felt by Queensland’s regional, rural and remote (RRR) population groups. In 2016, more than one third of the Queensland population lived outside major metropolitan areas.

Community Legal Centres Queensland expects that climate crises will disproportionately impact people living in RRR communities through exacerbating existing social and financial pressures.7 The downstreaming of social and legal problems by this cohort will likely include property law, employment, bankruptcy, family and disputes about water allocation.

Some 17% of Queensland families are single-parent families, many of whom rent rather than own their own home. More than one in three Queenslanders live in rental accommodation. Disputes with landlords about repairs and maintenance issues that arise from climate events are also likely to become a common feature of every day legal need. Tenants’ rights to access to air-conditioning and disputes between tenants and landlords regarding solar energy schemes inputs may also increase.

This quick scan demonstrates the extent to which climate change is infiltrating everyday legal practice.4 Many additional client groups such as climate refugees and people with disabilities whose legal problems also intersect with climate change events will face specific barriers to accessing justice. A climate-conscious approach to lawyering in the legal assistance sector requires us to embed climate change consequences into the legal support and advice we provide to our clients.

Conclusion

We must understand that climate change and the legal work it generates is no longer solely the preserve of specialist environmental lawyers. In the access to justice sector, a climate-conscious mindset to legal practice is clearly needed, one that conceptualises climate change in all aspects of our work.

We can expect the intersectionality of clients’ legal problems to be constantly shaped by climate change events. With the support of the Society, the Queensland legal profession, and particularly the legal assistance sector, must adopt a climate-conscious approach. In doing so it must also build its own adaptive capacity, resilience and responsiveness to climate change.

This article appears courtesy of the QLS Access to Justice Pro Bono Committee. Monica Taylor is the Director of the UQ Pro Bono Centre and a member of the committee. The QLS Pro Bono policy committee brings together practitioners working full time in the access to justice sector, and private practitioners who have an interest in access to justice including pro bono legal, legal aid work and/or innovative models of providing legal services to fill the justice gap. If you are interested in the work of the committee, contact Chair Elizabeth Shearer via elizabeth.shearer@shearerdoyle.com.au.

Notes

1 Preston, B, ‘Implementing a climate conscious approach in daily legal practice’, Australian & New Zealand Legal Ethics Colloquium 5th Bi-Annual Meeting; Sustainable Legal Ethics as part of the public symposium “Should Lawyers Challenge Emptiness?”, 4 December 2015, Monash University, Law Chambers, Melbourne.


5 Eco-anxiety: ‘How to spot it and what to do about it’, bbc.co.uk/bbcthree/article/b2e7ee32-ad28-4ec4-89aa-474.e16.


7 Community Legal Centres Queensland, ‘Evidentiary & Analysis of Legal Need’, August 2019. The number of people living outside major cities in Queensland was 1,733,514 in 2016, representing 37% of the total population, see n3.

8 AFD and King’s College London, above n3.

9 Community Legal Centres Queensland, ‘Evidence & Analysis of Legal Need’, August 2019. The number of people living outside major cities in Queensland was 1,733,514 in 2016, representing 37% of the total population, see n3.

Different, better

What can your client expect when you refer them to us?

As a social justice law firm, we are focused on making a positive difference in people’s lives and want affordable legal services to be accessible to all. We do this by keeping our fees lower than the industry average and charge only on the government set Federal Court Scale. Because we want our clients to always get more, in the exceptional case when a cap on costs is to be applied, we cap our fees on only one third of the settlement, rather than apply the normal 50/50 rule.

The best of both worlds – lower fees and experience

Lower fees does not mean you have to compromise on expertise. Both Travis Schultz and Michael Calisse are accredited specialists, each with over 25 years’ experience and provide a personal service everyone can access.

Now that is different, better.

Sunshine Coast 07 5406 7405 Brisbane 07 3121 3240 Gold Coast 07 5512 6149 info@schultzlaw.com.au schultzlaw.com.au

• Cutting edge expertise, without the price tag.
• Compensation and insurance experts.
• No win, no pay.
• No uplift fees.
• No litigation funding foroutlined and no interest charges.
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The court agreed with the majority from the Court of Appeal in Barnes v Glenton [1999] HCA 27 (4 September 2019) that the claim under s26 of the predecessor would not be extended by the s26 predecessor. The shorter period for personal claims under s26 would not be extended by the s26 predecessor. The High Court held that the new provisions were relevantly indistinguishable from the provision in the local court and by senior counsel in the Supreme Court. Costs were awarded to the respondent. The appellant was represented by a solicitor in the local court and by senior counsel in the Supreme Court. She also addressed the court herself in two of her times and in engagement of preparation of the case. The appellate disparted the respondents' costs. The issue for the High Court was whether the Chorley exception for solicitors should be extended to represent defendants who were not also barristers. The court held that the Chorley exception for solicitors should be extended to represent defendants who were not also barristers. The constitutional assumption of the rule of law. The precautionary principle is also defined in the context of a proposed enterprise agreement. The precautionary principle is defined in the Prevention and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. The precautionary principle is defined in the Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth). Allsop CJ and Greenwood and Robertson JJ rejected the ground of appeal and held that the AAT misunderstood or erred in applying the provisions of ss18 and 29 of the Australian Consumer Law 2010 (Cth).
WITH ROBERT GLADE-WRIGHT

Children – father’s application for parenting orders disallowed for non-compliance with s60I family dispute resolution

In Ellwood & Ravenhill [2019] FamCAFC 153 (6 September 2019) Kent J (sitting in the appellate jurisdiction of the Family Court of Australia) allowed the mother’s appeal against orders made on the application of the father in respect of the parties’ daughter during 2018 (near 16). The application sought to have the existing, informal parenting arrangement (equal time with daughter) made by order. Kent J found that the court lacked jurisdiction.

Ellwood & Ravenhill [2019] FamCAFC 153 (13 August 2019) the Full Court (Aldridge & Austin J) considered a nine-year-old cohabitation between a 47-year-old husband and 44-year-old wife. The parties’ 14- and 11-year-old children lived with the husband and saw the wife on weekends and on holidays. Finding that the husband’s contributions were worth $4,972 million and the wife’s $505,200, Rees J at first instance said that during the parties’ relationship “conducted their financial affairs independently”, although “each party invested both formally and informally in properties owned by the other” ([31] and “each contributed their money and their efforts to the enterprise of their family” ([39]).

The $12.5 million pool excluded superannuation, which was worth $342,351 (husband) and $83,819 (wife). The wife had worked professionally and earned income from sources during the relationship. Rees J found that the parties’ contributions “up to the date of trial were equal, but that their initial contributions warranted an 86:20 contributions-based adjustment”. The wife then received a 10% adjustment for s75(2)(d) factors, a division of 70:30 in favour of the husband overall. The husband appealed.

In dismissing the appeal, Anislie-Wallance, with whom Aldridge and Austin J agreed, said from [23] 

“…[The provisions of s60I] emphasise the requirement for parties to a dispute about parenting orders to make a genuine effort to resolve that dispute with the assistance of family dispute resolution before application is made to the Court. Only if one of the exceptions contained in subsection (b) applies, can an application be filed without the parties having participated in family dispute resolution. Even then, it can be seen that subsection (10) requires the Court to consider an order for the parties to attend family dispute resolution with a family dispute resolution practitioner. ([…])

In short, the argument as to the first ground, short of the lexology of the submission, is ‘20 per cent is too much.’ ([…])

[21] The appeal ground invites this Court to do the impermissible, to substitute our finding that the stipend continue at $1000 per week, Judge Boyle at first instance accepting that Mr Yeo was not in good health and, although looking for work, he had been unemployed since 2014 while receiving a $1000 weekly allowance from Mr Garston. It was ordered that the stipend continue at $1000 per week, the court rejecting $1100 of Mr Yeo’s claimed expenses, including rent, skincare and holidays. In refusing leave to appeal, Anislie-Wallace J said from [24]:

“The applicant correctly submitted that a person seeking an order for spousal maintenance must satisfy the court, on the evidence before it, that he or she cannot support himself or herself adequately as set out in s72(1) of the Act (Hall v Hall [2016] HCA 22; [at 89] [...]”

[20] “A voluntary emergency management activity involves the employee:

• paying the employee’s wages and salary during the absence (including its operational requirements) – An employee is entitled to paid absence from work on public holidays. Public holidays are listed as:

- 1 January (New Year’s Day)
- 26 January (Australia Day)
- Good Friday
- Easter Monday
- 25 April (ANZAC Day)
- Queen’s Birthday holiday
- 25 December (Christmas Day)
- 26 December (Boxing Day)
- state-declared public holidays.

An employer can make a reasonable request for an employee to work on the public holiday, which the employee can refuse on reasonable grounds. The Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) sets out certain factors to take into account in deciding what is reasonable:

- the nature of the employer’s workplace (including its operational requirements)
- the nature of the employee’s work
- the personal circumstances, including family responsibilities
- whether the employee could reasonably be expected that the employer might request work on a public holiday
- whether the employee is entitled to receive overtime payments, penalty rates or other compensation for, or a level of remuneration that reflects an expectation of, work on the public holiday
- whether the employee is full time, part time or casual, or is a shift worker
- the amount of advance notice given by the employer.

The relevant sections of the National Employment Standards (NES) provide:

- Community service leave – Employees are entitled to this leave if taking part in an eligible community service activity, which is defined as:

- a voluntary emergency management activity
- or
- otherwise as prescribed by regulation.

There is no restriction on leave for jury duty. Employees absent on jury duty (other than casual employees) do have to be paid the difference between their base pay and the amount received for jury duty for the first 10 days of attendance.

A voluntary emergency management activity involves the employee:

- being a member of a recognised emergency management body (such as the State Emergency Service, Country Fire Authority or RSPCA)
- being requested to engage in an activity dealing with an emergency or natural disaster on a voluntary basis (no formal request is necessary in urgent circumstances), and
- engaging in the activity.

Leave for emergency management purposes must be reasonable in all the circumstances and covers not only the time engaged in the activity but also reasonable travelling and rest time following the activity. Employees absent on voluntary emergency management activities must not be paid by the employer.

Notice of the absence for all types of community service leave must be given as soon as practicable by the employee and reasonable evidence must be produced on request.

Public holidays – An employer is entitled to paid absence from work on public holidays. Public holidays are listed as:

- 1 January (New Year’s Day)
- 26 January (Australia Day)
- Good Friday
- Easter Monday
- 25 April (ANZAC Day)
- Queen’s Birthday holiday
- 25 December (Christmas Day)
- 26 December (Boxing Day)
- state-declared public holidays.

An employer can make a reasonable request for an employee to work on the public holiday, which the employee can refuse on reasonable grounds. The Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) sets out certain factors to take into account in deciding what is reasonable:

- the nature of the employer’s workplace (including its operational requirements)
- the nature of the employee’s work
- the personal circumstances, including family responsibilities
- whether the employee could reasonably be expected that the employer might request work on a public holiday
- whether the employee is entitled to receive overtime payments, penalty rates or other compensation for, or a level of remuneration that reflects an expectation of, work on the public holiday
- whether the employee is full time, part time or casual, or is a shift worker
- the amount of advance notice given by the employer.

Robert Glade-Wright is the founder and senior editor of The Family Law Book, a volume loose-leaf and online family law service (thefamilylawbook.com.au). He is assisted by Queensland lawyer Craig Nicioli, who is a QLS Accredited Specialist (family law).
The law firm employee experience

What really works?

BY GRAEME MCFADYEN

While work-life balance is a topical issue generally, it is especially so within the legal industry, which has the unfortunate reputation as being the most depression-prone profession in Australia. In a recent survey of 200 legal professionals across Australia and New Zealand, more than 60% said they had experienced depression or knew someone who did, while 85% said they had experienced anxiety.1 This focus on work-life balance has seen many initiatives introduced in firms, but not always effectively. In another recent survey, by GlobalX and the Australasian Legal Practice Management Association (ALPMA), lawyers were asked whether their firms had implemented positive changes to the employee experience. Some 80% confirmed that they had, with large firms achieving a 90% positive response.

However, the same survey also found that lawyers in large firms reported the worst rates of work-life balance so “there is clearly a disconnect between investment in the employee experience and a flow-on effect to employee wellbeing”.2 The same survey asked what positive working conditions were offered by their firm. The most common at 83% was flexible working hours with the second most popular, surprisingly, was working from home at 73%. There were no further details provided about the extent of this option – such as whether one or five days per week so it is unclear as to the extent of this offering. And while these conditions may positively influence employee attitudes, they may well not achieve a positive workplace environment as most admin employees are unlikely to enjoy the same benefits.

While the workplace environment of most law firms may have improved markedly over the past decade, the legal environment is clearly still characterised by high levels of stress and anxiety. This is demonstrated in part by the fact that the respective law institutes and societies across Australia all provide LawCare programs to promote the health and wellbeing of their members.

While the benefits of a positive workplace environment are well known – improved work, morale, productivity and staff retention while reducing stress – the fact that there are still high rates of depression within the legal profession suggests that law firms are not doing enough to address the problem.

This situation may be attributable to the leadership team having a different view from the employees in what needs to be done to create a positive workplace. In a 2012 survey by GlobalX, executive respondents regarded the organisation’s financial performance and staff remuneration as significant factors in establishing a positive workplace, while these same factors ranked amongst the lowest in the eyes of the employees. Rather, the employees prioritised intangibles – regular and candid communications, employee recognition and access to management – as the most relevant factors in establishing a positive workplace.

So where do you start the cultural renaissance? The steps required are likely to vary depending on specific circumstances, but in large part the steps outlined below should take you a fair way down the path.

Develop a confidential staff survey that asks staff to identify what they would like to change in the office to improve client service and the general office environment as a whole.

Follow this initial survey up with six-monthly, firm-wide satisfaction surveys. It may take a few surveys to demonstrate that you take the process seriously and that you are prepared to be accountable for implementing or not implementing particular recommended actions. It is not until you have demonstrated your bona fides in this regard that you will start to enjoy the full confidence of staff.

1. Develop and promote your values vigorously. Staff buy-in is imperative, so significant staff input into the values is necessary. Once developed, don’t be shy about your values. They need to be highly visible across the office(s).

2. Walk the talk. Your values define acceptable behaviours at all levels, particularly at partner level. There can be no exceptions and anyone engaging in persistent, serious breaches needs to be exited quickly. Decisive leadership by the managing partner in managing the sometimes smart (and frequently highly visible) behaviour of partners is critical.

3. Don’t wait for a formal appraisal session to let somebody know if their conduct is unacceptable. If somebody’s behaviour is inconsistent with your values, make sure they know it. A quiet word by a senior member of the team may be all that is required, but the conversation has to occur and it needs to be done promptly.

4. Recruit for fit against the values of the firm. If implemented properly, this will produce a significant improvement in staff interaction, reduce internal bickering and seriously enhance overall compliance with values and the quality of the work environment.

5. Carefully analyse the language of the firm to see if it inadvertently promotes classic distictions. For example, do some firms absent-mindedly use the generic description “professionals” to describe all team members with legal qualifications. The inference is that somehow the professionally qualified staff within HR, marketing, finance and IT are somehow less deserving.

6. Look for opportunities to communicate, communicate and communicate. The surveys are only one link in the communications chain. You might consider keeping staff informed of firm performance against revenue targets and strategies. If you want your people to take a personal interest in the performance of the firm, you need to take them into your confidence.

7. Look for opportunities to celebrate successes. Circulate the good news stories and ensure heroic performances by support staff especially are publicly celebrated.

Postscript: The poor business practices at the banks and especially AMP uncovered by the Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry demonstrates how pockets of toxicity may develop, even within otherwise respectable organisations. Management needs to remain alert to these possibilities.

“...a positive culture in the workplace is essential for fostering a sense of pride and ownership amongst the employees. When people take pride, they invest their future in the organisation and work hard to create opportunities that will benefit the organisation. Positive attitudes and behaviour in the workplace are the direct results of effective leadership and a positive management style”.

Notes


3. “Core beliefs and culture, Chairman’s survey findings”, Deloitte Development LLC, 2012, in which 1005 adult employees and 300 corporate executives were interviewed in the United States.


5. “A positive culture in the workplace is essential for fostering a sense of pride and ownership amongst the employees. When people take pride, they invest their future in the organisation and work hard to create opportunities that will benefit the organisation. Positive attitudes and behaviour in the workplace are the direct results of effective leadership and a positive management style”.

6. A confidential staff survey that asks staff to identify what they would like to change in the office to improve client service and the general office environment as a whole.

Plan ahead! Book in now to meet your March 2020 requirements. Choose from our range of learning formats and levels to suit your needs.
POINT LOOKOUT – NTH STRADEBERY 4 bedroom family holiday house. Great ocean views and easy walking distance to beaches. Ph: 07-3870 0694 or 0409 709 694

COMMERCIAL OFFICE SPACE 40m² to 420m² – including car spaces for lease Available at Northpoint, North Quay. Close proximity to new Law Courts. Please direct enquiries to Don on 3038 4434.

OFFICE TO JOIN A network of 486 Solicitors and Barristers. Virtual and permanent office solutions for 1-15 people at 239 George Street. Call 1800 300 894 or email enquiries@cgopgroup.com.au

Commercial Office space including fit out. Suit Barrister with Receptionist at Northpoint, North Quay. Close proximity to Law Courts. Please direct all enquiries to Emily 3236 2604.

For sale

Townsville Boutique Practice for Sale Established 1983, this well-known firm is focused on family law, criminal law, estate and wills. Centrally located in the Townsville CBD. Can be incorporated if required. Operates under Law Master Practice Management System. Salary is prepared to stay on for a period of time if required. Preferred Supplier for Legal Aid Queensland and Legal Aid NSW (when required). Salter is ICL and Separate Representative. $150,000.00 plus WIP. Room to expand. Phone or email: P Davison on 07 3398 8140 or 0405 018 074

For sale continued

S/F Old Regional City $285k + WIP Estab. 35 yrs. Work is Family, Lit, Wills/ Estates, Convey, Comm, etc. Gross $1.3m. 2019 net profit was $242,000 (PEBIT). South Gold Coast $450k + WIP Compensation, Prof Regurgita, Estate Litigation, etc. Gross $77k. Net 2019 of $493,000. Principal is ready to retire. Northside Suburb Home/Office General Practice $1.13m W.I.W.O. Est. 34 yrs. Fee range of $600k - $650k with average profits of $280k (PEBIT). WIP & Debtors included in the sale. Sunshine Coast $225k Plus WIP Conveyancing & Commercial practice. Fee range of $66k - $78k per annum with 2019 profit of $195,000 (PEBIT) Northside $99,500 Plus WIP Option to also buy freehold $365k Est. 28 yrs. Fee range of $530k - $580k with average profits of $203k (PEBIT). Conv, Wills, Lit, Fam, Commercial etc. South Burnett Region $110k + WIP Gross fees circa $90k. Estab. 20 yrs, ready to retire. Work is Wills & Estates, Convey, Wills etc. and other matters. Family Law/N/S $199.5k + WIP Family Law 95%, W/Estates 5%. Est 25 yrs. Gross fees for 2019 of $660k. Profit $252k (PEBIT). Excellent Main Rd location. Gold Coast $326k + WIP Retiring after 40 yrs. Work is Family, Litigation, Wills and P.I. Gross $70k-$110m with a 2019 net of $270,000. Brisbane – 3 Locations $157k + WIP SOLD CBD & Southside $410k + WIP SOLD

Details available at: www.lawbrokers.com.au peter@lawbrokers.com.au

Call Peter Davison 07 3398 8140 or 0405 018 480

NOTE - CLASSIFIED ADVERTISEMENTS

Unless specifically stated, products and services advertised or otherwise appearing in Proctor are not endorsed by Queensland Law Society.

For further information or support please contact a member of the Pride in Law’s Executive Committee.

enquiries@prideinlaw.org prideinlaw.org

NOTE - CLASSIFIED ADVERTISEMENTS

Unless specifically stated, products and services advertised or otherwise appearing in Proctor are not endorsed by Queensland Law Society.

For sale

Trainee Supervised or Recently Graduated Solicitor. Full Time, Crossan Legal. Solicitors & Notary. Emerald Crossan Legal is a small busy country law practice which has provided Legal Services in most areas of the Law in Emerald and Central Queensland continuously since 1974. The firm undertakes predominately Family Law, Commercial, Wills and Estates, Local and Regional Magistrates and District Courts and town and country conveyance transactions. The law firm is managed by Neil Peacock who has practised continuously here since 1980.

The successful applicant should aspire to and possess competence in the following areas:

- Preferably a country background, and a

- Suitable and interested in relocating to reside and work permanently in Emerald and become actively involved in the local community.

- A sincere and progressively focused interest in practicing in all areas of the Law including in particular the areas currently undertaken by the firm.

- A genial ability to communicate politely and respectfully, and to engage with other clients, staff members, the community at large and other members of the Legal Profession;

- To work with time and cost efficiency in a robust, proactive and enthusiastic manner and under pressure at times both independently and as part of our team.

If you are interested please contact us for an interview appointment.

Contact us for fees and draft orders: courtney@bartonfamilylaw.com.au 07 3193 1200 | www.lablacklawyers.com.au

Ph: 3465 9332; Mob: 0490 747 929
courtney@bartonfamilylaw.com.au

Your Time is Precious        bstone.com.au

Prepared to purchase your files in the areas of:

- Personal Injuries
- Employment/Industrial
- Public Liability claims
- WorkCover claims
- Motor Vehicle Accidents
- Family Law
- Estates
- Wills
- Conveyancing
- Property
- Education
- Employment & Discrimination
- Business

Purchasing Personal Injuries files Jonathan C. Whiting and Associates are prepared to purchase your files in the areas of:

- Motor Vehicle Accidents
- WorkCover
- Public Liability

Contact Jonathan on 07 3210 0373 or 0411 856798

NOTE TO PERSONAL INJURY ADVISERS

The Queensland Law Society advises that if you cannot accept any advertisements which appear to be prohibited by the Personal Injuries Proceedings Act 2002. All advertisements in Proctor relating to personal injury practices must not include any statements that may reasonably be thought to be intended or likely to encourage or induce a person to make a personal injuries claim, or use the services of a particular practitioner or a named law practice in making a personal injury claim.
FESTIVE FAVOURITES

season questions:

Christmas is just around the corner, so this month we’ve asked a group of senior practitioners with outstanding knowledge of wines to answer four festive season questions:

1. What Christmas celebration wine would you recommend for less than $80? 
2. What food wine would you recommend for the Christmas table, and what would you serve it with?
3. If you were going to your last $30 at Christmas, what wine would you buy?
4. Imagine you are spending New Year’s Day on Lady Elliot Island and you can only take one bottle with you on the plane to enjoy there, what would it be and why?

Justin McDonnell
(PARTNER, KING & WOOD MALLESONS)

1. Primo Estate Sparkling Shiraz an Australian unique style of wine, a red bubble!
2. Noon Cabernet Sauvignon with lamb/turkey, or a good Australian tokay/ muscat with the pudding.
3. Rockford Alicante Bouchet Rose – my wife’s favourite!

Ian Bloemendal
(PARTNER, CLAYTON UTZ)

1. Primo Estate Joseph Sparkling Red – released every two years, it is opulent but not too sweet, and different to every other sparkling red wine on the market. Made from shiraz, cabernet, merlot and “select barrels of Australian red wine”. As the back label says: “Don’t ask any questions, the answer is in the bottle.”
2. Weingut Keller Riesling von der Fels – any vintage between 2015 and 2018, served with sauced scallops with a ginger soy dressing and shalots. For the recipe, look for ‘Scallops with ginger and shalots’ at taste.com.au. “von der Fels” means “from the rocks”. It is a riesling made using some of the top shelf GG “ou” wines from 10 to 30 years old. Jancis Robinson MW is reported as saying: “If I had to choose one wine to show how great German riesling can be, I would choose a Keller riesling. Those wines are the German Montrachets.”
3. I’d reach for a Blue Polos Alluron (Merlot Cabernet Franc). A Bordeaux Right Bank blend from the Waldlrike Precinct of Margaret River (same region as Leeuwin Estate, Voyager Estate, Cape Mentelle).
4. I’d thoroughly enjoy a 2014 Home Hill Kelly’s Reserve Pinot Noir. Simmeringly delicious with dark berries and savoury notes, it’s a Tassie pinot masterclass from the Huon Valley. Worth hunting down – a well deserved Jimmy Watson trophy winner, with a boot full of other trophies.

Bruce Humphrys
(MANAGING PARTNER, HOPGOODGANN LAWYERS)

At this time of year I tend to get a little nostalgic, so i’m leaning toward things that serve my memory with a deal of happiness:

1. Charles Melton Sparkling Shiraz
3. Pepper Jack Shiraz
4. Perrier Jouet Belle Epoque – because you need nothing else.

Matthew Dunn
(WINE WRITER 3RD CLASS, PROCTOR)

1. Lubiana Grand Vintage 2009 (Tasmania)
2. Current release Hunter Semillon and merlot co-fermented or Freycinet Vineyard Pinot Noir and BBQ lamb backstrap.
3. Cirillo Vincent Grechet, the little brother to the 1850 wines but bang on for value.
4. Nicolas Carmarans Mauvais Temps from Averyon, the most obscure but singular of all their wines.

Joanne Rennick
(MANAGING PARTNER, MURPHYSMIDT SOLICITORS)

1. Primo Estate Joseph Sparkling Red
2. Giaconda Chardonnay with scallops of prawns simply done.
4. Lady Elliot special wine – the island would outshine the wine, but it would have to be Australian and it would have to be red (I’m assuming no refrigeration) – Yabby Lake Pinot Noir.

Lucy Bretherton
(COUNSEL, ASHURST)

1. Champagne is the only drink of celebration. In fact every time you open a bottle of champagne, it’s a celebration! If you look hard enough, you should be able to find non-vintage champagne from many of the major champagne houses for under $80 a bottle. It’s very hard to go past Louis Roederer Brut Premier or Pol Roger Brut Champagne NV. For a bangal (around $59), you can’t beat Canard-Duchene Cuvee Leonie Brut NV
2. Lailler Rose Gru Gru NV (at around $77) is the perfect accompaniment for a traditional roast turkey Christmas dinner or even turducken. For a seafood Christmas, I recommend a blanc de blancs champagne such as Ruinart Blanc de Blancs NV (although a little more pricey at around $120).
3. A half-bottle of champagne!
4. Given the “one bottle” limitation, it would have to be a jeroboam (three litres) or even a methuselah (six litres) of champagne. Champagne Palmer & Co does great champagne in large formats. If push-came-to-shove, a magnum (1.5 litres) might just suffice. As Winston Churchill quipped being perhaps a little sexist; “A magnum is the perfect size for two gentlemen over lunch, especially if one isn’t drinking.”

If it had to be single 750ml bottle, it would be a Louis Roederer Cristal 2008 – one of the best vintages of the last 20 years.

Brett Heading
(PARTNER, JONES DAY AND CHAIRMAN, CLOVELEY ESTATE, SOUTH BURNETT)

1. Arras Grand Vintage 2008 – A wine of outstanding quality, enriched by the great complexity of character that follows seven years of ageing. The Arras Grand Vintage retains freshness and longevity with exceptional balance and a rich, full-bodied taste. Simply the greatest sparkling wine from the greatest sparkling producer in Australia.

2. Clovey Estate 2013 Blanc de Blancs – Like a phoenix rising from the ashes, the 2013 chardonnay was a blessing in the year of the big floods. Secondary fermentation in bottle and then left in peace for six years before disgorging in September 2019. The fine acidity will have the taste buds looking for more and the wine delivers with classic chardonnay flavours and notes of toasty brioche and gentle complexity.

3. La Petite Mort 2017 Pinot Noir Rosé – An engaging label, albeit a little absurd. If I can only take one bottle, then I need/ want both red and white in the one bottle! The winemakers here push the limits of the varieties with excellent results. Classic southern French style rosé, bone dry with delicious earthy characteristics and hints of red fruits from the pinot noir. A delicate balance of both red and white wine is what every good roast should display.

4. A half-bottle of champagne, it’s a celebration!

Matthew Dunn
(WINE WRITER 3RD CLASS, PROCTOR)
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**Mould’s maze**

**BY JOHN-PAUL MOULD, BARRISTER AND CIVIL MARRIAGE CELEBRANT | JPMOULD.COM.AU**

**Across**
1. Demit. (Two words) (6)
2. Spending time with a child, as opposed to having residence. (Archaic) (7)
3. Contracting party. (8)
4. Prison. (3 letters) (9)
5. Lawyer whose methods are petty, underhanded or disreputable. (11)
6. Bachelor of Laws. (Abbrev.) (3)
7. Convert into cash. (7)
8. Without bail or escaped from custody, at ..... (5)
9. Spending time with a child, as opposed to having custody. (8)
10. A ....... debt is an amount accepted as owed by a bankrupt trustee to a creditor for proportional payment from available funds. (9)
12. Accused family or social background, usually mentioned at the commencement of a criminal sentence. (11)
13. Sir ..... Fielding Dickens KC was the eighth son of Charles Dickens and a Common
down of a criminal sentence. (11)
14. An opinion given by the Australian T
15. A ....... penalty or
16. Accused' Office to an individual taxpayer, private ....... (6)
17. An opinion given by the Australian T
18. Convicted of a Commonwealth indictable
19. Appointed or given by the Australian T
20. An opinion given by the Australian T
21. Stamp af fixed to a court document. (4)
22. Insurance agent employed to evaluate liability for and quantum of a claim, loss ....... (6)
23. Silk awarded an AM for services to surf
24. Fearsion death sentence involving tying a defendant in two facting boats and smearing their hands, feet and head with honey to attract insects. (Archaic) (8)
25. Condition precedent. (9)
26. Outfitting and reimbursing a patient with hospital
27. Security interest of a mechanic. (4)
28. TV series in which Richard Roxburgh plays the role of barrister Clive Leeson. (4)

**Down**
1. Stamp affixed to a court document. (4)
2. Supposed right of a feudal lord to have sexual relations with the bride of a vassal on his wedding day. ..... du jeunior. (French) (5)
3. Originally called. (5)
4. A beginner, apprentice or trainee. (4)
5. Corpoal. (6)
6. Lying or speaking insincerely. (13)
7. The District Court and Magistrates
8. Court are ..... courts of record. (9)
9. Condition precedent. (9)
10. Seeking to influence or incite to unlawful action. (12)
11. Official seat of the Lord Chancellor in the House of Lords, the ..... (8)

**Solution on page 56**

**Anniversary at the day hospital**

**BY SHANE BUDDEN**

In the first 51 years of my life, I had no operations, unless you count the time I had a discoloured patch of skin on my lip removed; it wasn’t my idea.

My then-girlfriend convinced me to do it, and I thought it would be a good idea that I underwent the procedure based on the sound medical arguments she advanced, but that would be a lie. I did it because she was very attractive.

The same young lady also convinced me to watch her take at least pains to appear to watch Steel Magnolises. That’s how attractive she was.

Actually, the lip operation didn’t turn out too badly, apart from the fact that the needle for the local anaesthetic puncturing my lip was the most painful thing I have experienced in this side of listening to Julia Gillard speak. Plus my lip swelled up to a shape and size that would have allowed me to be the understudy for the lead in The Elephant Man. Also, we broke up not long after that, and I guess it is entirely possible that the two events are not unrelated (my girlfriend and I broke up that is; not the Elephant Man and I)

However, I digress, and return to the point which was (as far as can recall) having operations. Having compared notes with many of my friends who are now entering the phase QLS likes to refer to as ‘Penwet Practitioners’ because it sounds a lot better than ‘dinosours’, I have come to the conclusion that doctors have a quota system.

By that, I mean that they feel that, by a conclusion that doctors have a quota system. Many of my friends report similar stories, and we have noticed that the more unpleasant the preparation for the operation is, the better the doctors are. This also makes me suspicious, as very often the preparation for the operation tends to resemble a weird hazing initiation like they have for admission to college fraternities in the United States.

“Well,” the doctor will say, “we need you to eat nothing but raw sardines for a day, then fast for 24 hours after drinking a bottle of warm vinegar; then you have to stand on your head for 20 minutes while I poke you with this stick.” It goes without saying that this abuse does not come for free.

I wonder if the doctors sit around making things up, and whether they can barely keep a straight face as they instruct us before rushing down to a special doctor bar and sneezing, “You’ll never believe what I got this one to do!”

On a seemingly unrelated note, the relevance of which will be illuminated later in this column, my wife and I celebrated our 20th wedding anniversary on 25 September this year.

Anyway, at one check-up it came to my doctor’s attention that I had not had a colonoscopy ever. This is the medical system’s equivalent of telling someone you haven’t seen Star Wars (NE: I use the comparison as a humour device only; not having seen Star Wars is clearly far worse than pretty much anything else, and if you haven’t, you need to see it as soon as you finish reading this vital column). My doctor quickly arranged for a

So on our 20th wedding anniversary, my wife whisked me off to a romantic day hospital, where the staff prepared me by peppering me with questions (“You’ve never had a colonoscopy? Really? Truly?”). They also confirmed that I had prepared properly by drinking Glycoprep until it came out my ears. Glycoprep is a special drink that prepares you for the operation, and is made by adding about 6000 teaspoons of salt to a truckload of Gatorade and then straining it through unwashed running socks. At least, that is what it says on the label.

The good news is that it turned out there was nothing wrong, and on the plus side I believe my doctor now has enough hospital points to get a new toaster, so it’s all for the good. The serious side of the story is that had there been a problem, it could have been easily fixed by being detected early – so in all seriousness those screenings your doctor wants you to do are worth it, even if they are a pain in the backside (Hear what I did there? No wonder I write a humour column). I should now say a word or two about our outgoing QLS President, Bill Potts, speaking of pains in the backside (I am on fire today!).

In all seriousness, I want to thank Bill for his hard work over the last year. He has led the profession with courage, courtesy and collegiality. I have enjoyed working with Bill, partly because he is a great president but mostly because he is a great bloke and a good friend. Appreciate your friendship and support Mr President, good luck on the next challenge.

So on our 20th wedding anniversary, my wife whisked me off to a romantic day hospital, where the staff prepared me by peppering me with questions (“You’ve never had a colonoscopy? Really? Truly?”). They also confirmed that I had prepared properly by drinking Glycoprep until it came out my ears. Glycoprep is a special drink that prepares you for the operation, and is made by adding about 6000 teaspoons of salt to a truckload of Gatorade and then straining it through unwashed running socks. At least, that is what it says on the label.

The good news is that it turned out there was nothing wrong, and on the plus side I believe my doctor now has enough hospital points to get a new toaster, so it’s all for the good. The serious side of the story is that had there been a problem, it could have been easily fixed by being detected early – so in all seriousness those screenings your doctor wants you to do are worth it, even if they are a pain in the backside (Hear what I did there? No wonder I write a humour column). I should now say a word or two about our outgoing QLS President, Bill Potts, speaking of pains in the backside (I am on fire today!).

In all seriousness, I want to thank Bill for his hard work over the last year. He has led the profession with courage, courtesy and collegiality. I have enjoyed working with Bill, partly because he is a great president but mostly because he is a great bloke and a good friend. Appreciate your friendship and support Mr President, good luck on the next challenge.
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District Law Associations (DLAs) are essential to regional development of the legal profession. Please introduce your local DLA President with any queries you may have or for information on local-related issues. All DLA presidents can also advise on the profile of the profession and build your business.
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QLS Senior Counsellors

Senior Counsellors are available to provide confidential advice to Queensland Law Society members on any professional or ethical problem. They may act for a solicitor in any subsequent proceedings and are available to give career and personal advice.

For 24hr confidential information and appointments
1800 177 743
qls.com.au/lawcare

Interest rates

Interest rates are no longer published in Proctor. Please visit the QLS website to view each month’s updated rates qls.com.au/interestrates

Direct queries can also be sent to interestrates@qls.com.au.

Crossword solution

From page 54

Access: 1 Stand down, 4 Contact, 7 Promises, 10 Hossagow, 13 Pettigrew, 16 LB, 17 Realise, 18 Large, 19 Access, 20 Probable, 21 TAC, 22 Antecedents, 25 Harry, 26 Favourite, 29 Rufus, 30 Dainty, 31 Amos, 32 Release, 33 Astart.

Down: 1 Seal, 2 Droit, 3 Nae, 5 Tyro, 6 Tangible, 7 Prevocational, 8 Inferior, 9 Throsby, 11 Solicitor, 12 Woodcock, 14 Grapevine, 15 Allow, 22 Adjuster, 24 Stoicism, 26 Amas, 27 Lima, 29 Riko.

For 24hr confidential information and appointments
1800 177 743
qls.com.au/lawcare

Your partner in health and wellbeing

As a QLS member you have exclusive access to LawCare, a personal and professional support service. It’s designed to support your entire journey to work/life balance.

It’s yours to use
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For 24hr confidential information and appointments
1800 177 743
qls.com.au/lawcare
Innovation is at the heart of what we do. We work hard to continually provide the best practice management software in the world.

Find out more visit [leap.com.au/2x](http://leap.com.au/2x) or call 1300 886 243 for a free demonstration